# Final Third Quarter 2014 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells

Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Oahu, Hawaii

DOH Facility ID: 9-102271

DOH Release ID: 990051, 010011, 020028, and 140010

September 2014

Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Hawaii 400 Marshall Road JBPHH, HI 96860-3139



Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853, CTO 0002



# Final Third Quarter 2014 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells

Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Oahu, Hawaii

**DOH Facility ID: 9-102271** 

DOH Release ID: 990051, 010011, 020028, and 140010

September 2014

Prepared for:



Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Hawaii 400 Marshall Road JBPHH, HI 96860-3139

Prepared by:

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734

Prepared under:

Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853, CTO 0002



## FINAL THIRD QUARTER 2014 - QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT OUTSIDE TUNNEL WELLS RED HILL BULK FUEL STORAGE FACILITY

Long-Term Groundwater and Soil Vapor Monitoring Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility Joint-Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Oahu, Hawaii

#### **Prepared for:**

Department of the Navy
Commanding Officer, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Hawaii
400 Marshall Road
JBPHH, HI 96860-3139

#### Prepared by:

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734 (808) 261-0740

#### Prepared under:

Contract Number: N62742-12-D-1853 Contract Task Order: 0002

	) wo common	
Approval Signature:		9/18/2014
	Scott Simmons, ESI Project Manager	Date
Approval Signature:	Tio vo de fort	9/18/2014
•	Iris van der Zander, ESI QA Manager	Date

Contract No. N62742-12-D-1853		Contract Task Order 0002
This Page In	tentionally Left Blank.	
Tills Fage III	ternionally Left Blank.	

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
ES	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Site Description	1-1
1.2	Physical Settings	1-2
1.3	Background	1-3
2.0	GROUNDWATER SAMPLING	2-1
2.1	Groundwater Sampling	2-1
2.2	Analytical Results	2-1
2.3	Waste Disposal	2-2
3.0	DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT	3-1
3.1	Data Validation and Assessment	3-1
3.2	Data Assessment and Usability Conclusions	3-3
4.0	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4-1
5.0	FUTURE WORK	5-1
6.0	REFERENCES	6-1

#### **LIST OF TABLES**

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.1	Current Status of USTs	1-2
2.1	Analytical Results for Groundwater Sampling (July 23 and 24, 2014)	2-3
3.1	Quality Control Results for Groundwater Sampling (July 23 and 24, 2014)	3-5

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>
1	Site Location
2	Site Lavout

#### **APPENDICES**

<u>Appendix</u>	<u>Title</u>
Α	Groundwater Sampling Logs
В	Field Notes
С	Laboratory Reports
D	Historical Groundwater Exceedance Trends

#### **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

ACRONYMS/
ABBREVIATIONS DEFINITION/MEANING

% percent

COPC Contaminant of Potential Concern

DLNR State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources

DOH State of Hawaii Department of Health

DON Department of the Navy
EAL Environmental Action Level
EPA Environmental Protection Agency
ESI Environmental Science International

F-76 Marine Diesel Fuel

ID Identification

JBPHH Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam

JP-5 Jet Fuel Propellant-5
JP-8 Jet Fuel Propellant-8
LCS Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

LOD Limit of Detection
LOQ Limit of Quantitation
µg/L micrograms per liter

MS Matrix Spike

MSD Matrix Spike Duplicate

NAVFAC Naval Facilities Engineering Command

NAVSUP FLC Naval Supply Systems Command Fleet Logistics Center

N.D. Not Detected

PAH Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

PARCCS Precision, Accuracy, Representativeness, Completeness, Comparability,

and Sensitivity

pH hydrogen activity QC Quality Control

RHSF Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility

RPD Relative Percent Difference SAP Sampling and Analysis Plan

TEC The Environmental Company, Inc.

TPH-d Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel

TPH-g Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline

U.S. United States of America
UST Underground Storage Tank
VOC Volatile Organic Compounds

WP Work Plan

Contract No. N62742-12-D-1853	Contract Task Order 0002
This Page Intentionally Left Blank.	

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This quarterly monitoring report presents the results of the third quarter 2014 groundwater sampling event conducted on July 23 and 24, 2014, at the outside tunnel wells of the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility [RHSF], Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam [JBPHH], Hawaii. The RHSF is located in Halawa Heights on the Island of Oahu. There are 18 active and 2 inactive underground storage tanks [USTs] located at the RHSF. The State of Hawaii Department of Health [DOH] Facility Identification [ID] number is 9-102271. The DOH Release ID numbers are 990051, 010011, 020028, and 140010.

The groundwater sampling was conducted as part of the long-term groundwater and soil vapor monitoring at the RHSF and concurrent with release response activities initiated at Tank 5 in January, under Naval Facilities Engineering Command [NAVFAC] Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853. The sampling was conducted in accordance with the approved Work Plan [WP]/Sampling and Analysis Plan [SAP] prepared by Environmental Science International [ESI].

On July 23 and 24, 2014, ESI personnel collected groundwater samples from three outside tunnel monitoring wells (wells OWDFMW01, HDMW2253-03, and RHMW04). A primary and duplicate groundwater sample were collected from well OWDFMW01. All groundwater samples were analyzed for petroleum constituents. Analytical results were compared to DOH Environmental Action Levels (EALs) for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity. A summary of the analytical results is provided below.

- HDMW2253-03 None of the chemical constituents analyzed for were detected.
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d (17 and 15 µg/L), naphthalene (0.031 and 0.027 µg/L), and acetone (6.4 and 9.8 µg/L) were detected in both the primary and duplicate sample. None of the detected concentrations exceeded the DOH EALs. TPH-d concentrations in this well have been generally decreasing since an increase in November 2012, and were below both DOH EALs for the first time since July 2012.
- RHMW04 TPH-d (17 µg/L) was the only analyte detected. The TPH-d concentration detected did not exceed the DOH EALs. This well had not been sampled since April 2010. TPH-d had never been detected in samples from this well; however, the laboratory limits of detection (LODs) were an order of magnitude higher in the past and above both the concentration detected during this round and the current DOH EALs.

Since the wells were last sampled (April 2014 for wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01; and April 2010 for well RHMW04), groundwater contaminant concentrations remained at low concentrations and did not change significantly, or were not detected. No COPCs were detected in any well at concentrations above the DOH EALs.

Based on the results of the assessment, continued groundwater monitoring at the RHSF is recommended. If the TPH-d concentrations significantly increase, the monitoring frequency

should be increased to monthly, even though wells RHMW04, HDMW2253-03, and OWDFMW01 are not included in the RHSF Groundwater Protection Plan.

#### **SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION**

This quarterly monitoring report presents the results of the third quarter 2014 groundwater sampling event conducted on July 23 and 24, 2014, at the outside tunnel wells of the RHSF, JBPHH, Hawaii. The RHSF is located in Halawa Heights on the Island of Oahu. The purpose of the sampling is to (1) assess the condition of groundwater beneath and in the vicinity of the RHSF with respect to chemical constituents associated with jet fuel propellant and marine diesel fuel, and (2) to ensure the Navy remains in compliance with DOH UST release response requirements as described in Hawaii Administrative Rules 11-281 Subchapter 7, Release Response Action (DOH, 2013). The DOH Facility ID number for the RHSF is 9-102271. The DOH Release ID numbers are 990051, 010011, 020028, and 140010.

The groundwater sampling was conducted as part of the long-term groundwater and soil vapor monitoring at the RHSF, under NAVFAC Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853. The sampling was conducted in accordance with the approved WP/SAP prepared by ESI (ESI, 2012).

#### 1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The RHSF is located on federal government land (zoned F1 - Military and Federal), located in Halawa Heights, approximately 2.5 miles northeast of Pearl Harbor (Figure 1). It is located on a low ridge on the western edge of the Koolau Mountain Range that divides Halawa Valley from Moanalua Valley. The RHSF is bordered on the north by Halawa Correctional Facility and private businesses, on the west by the United States of America [U.S.] Coast Guard reservation, on the south by residential neighborhoods, and on the east by Moanalua Valley. A quarry is located less than a quarter mile away to the northwest. The RHSF occupies 144 acres of land and the majority of the site is at an elevation of approximately 200 to 500 feet above mean sea level.

The RHSF contains 18 active and 2 inactive USTs, which are operated by Naval Supply Systems Command Fleet Logistics Center [NAVSUP FLC] Pearl Harbor (formerly Fleet and Industrial Supply Center). Each UST has a capacity of approximately 12.5 million gallons. The RHSF is located approximately 100 feet above the basal aquifer. The USTs contain Jet Fuel Propellant-5 [JP-5], Jet Fuel Propellant-8 [JP-8], and Marine Diesel Fuel [F-76]. The current status of each of the USTs is summarized in Table 1.1.

Three groundwater monitoring wells (wells RHMW04, HDMW2253-03, and OWDFMW01) are located outside of the RHSF tunnel system (Figure 2). Well HDMW2253-03 is located at the Halawa Correctional Facility (outside the RHSF), well OWDFMW01 is located at the Oily Waste Disposal Facility near Adit 3, and well RHMW04 is located near the Navy Firing Range . Four groundwater monitoring wells (wells RHMW01, RHMW02, RHMW03, and RHMW05) are located within the RHSF lower access tunnel, and one sampling point (RHMW2254-01) is located at Red Hill Shaft. Monitoring data for the four wells located inside the tunnel and one sampling point at Red Hill Shaft are included in a separate report.

As noted, monitoring wells RHMW01, RHMW02, RHMW03, and RHMW05 are located inside the underground tunnels. Sampling point RHMW2254-01 is located inside the infiltration gallery of the Department of the Navy [DON] drinking water supply Well 2254-01. DON Well 2254-01 is located approximately 2,400 feet downgradient of the USTs and provides potable water to the JBPHH Water System, which serves approximately 65,200 military customers. NAVFAC Public Works Department operates the infiltration gallery and DON Well 2254-01.

TABLE 1.1
Current Status of the USTs
Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
July 2014 Quarterly Monitoring Report

Tank Identification	Fuel Type	Status	Capacity				
F-1	None	Inactive	12.5 million gallons				
F-2	JP-8	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-3	JP-8	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-4	JP-8	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-5	JP-8	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-6	JP-8	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-7	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-8	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-9	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-10	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-11	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-12	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-13	F-76	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-14	F-76	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-15	F-76	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-16	F-76	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-17	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-18	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				
F-19	None	Inactive	12.5 million gallons				
F-20	JP-5	Active	12.5 million gallons				

F-76 Marine Diesel Fuel
JP-5 Jet Fuel Propellant-5
JP-8 Jet Fuel Propellant-8

#### 1.2 PHYSICAL SETTINGS

Climatological conditions in the area of the RHSF consist of warm to moderate temperatures and low to moderate rainfall. The RHSF is leeward of the prevailing northeasterly trade winds. The average annual precipitation is approximately 40 inches, which occurs mainly between November and April (State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources [DLNR], 1986). Annual pan evaporation is approximately 75 inches (DLNR, 1985). Average temperatures range from the low 60's to high 80's (degrees Fahrenheit) (Atlas of Hawaii, 1983).

Oahu consists of the eroded remnants of two shield volcanoes, Waianae and Koolau. The RHSF is located on the southwest flank of the Koolau volcanic shield. Lavas erupted during the shield-building phase of the volcano belong to the *Koolau Volcanic Series* (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1935). Following formation of the Koolau shield, a long period of volcanic quiescence occurred,

during which the shield was deeply eroded. Following this erosional period, eruptive activity resumed. Lavas and pyroclastic material erupted during this period belong to the *Honolulu Volcanic Series* (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1935).

In the immediate area of the RHSF, Koolau Volcanic Series lavas dominate, although there are consolidated and unconsolidated non-calcareous deposits in the vicinity that consist of alluvium generated during erosion of the Koolau volcanic shield. South-southwest of the Site, and in isolated exposures to the west, are pyroclastic deposits formed during eruptions from three Honolulu Volcanic Series vents, Salt Lake, Aliamanu, and Makalapa (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1935). Based on established geology and records of the drilled wells (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1938), the RHSF is underlain by Koolau Volcanic Series basalts. The area of the RHSF is classified as *Rock Land*, where 25 to 90% of the land surface is covered by exposed rock and there are only shallow soils (Foote, et al., 1972).

Groundwater in Hawaii exists in two principal types of aquifers. The first and most important type, in terms of drinking water resources, is the basal aquifer. The basal aquifer exists as a lens of fresh water floating on and displacing seawater within the pore spaces, fractures, and voids of the basalt that forms the underlying mass of each Hawaiian island. In parts of Oahu, groundwater in the basal aquifer is confined by the overlying caprock and is under pressure. Waters that flow freely to the surface from wells that tap the basal aquifer are referred to as artesian.

The second type of aquifer is the caprock aquifer, which consists of various kinds of unconfined and semi-confined groundwater. Commonly, the caprock consists of a thick sequence of nearly impermeable clays, coral, and basalt, which separates the caprock aquifer from the basal aquifer. The impermeable nature of these materials and the artesian nature of the basal aquifer severely restrict the downward migration of groundwater from the upper caprock aquifer. In the area of the RHSF, there is no discernible caprock.

Groundwater in the area of the RHSF is part of the *Waimalu Aquifer System* of the *Pearl Harbor Aquifer Sector*. The aquifer is classified as a basal, unconfined, flank-type; and is currently used as a drinking water source. The aquifer is considered fresh with less than 250 milligrams per liter of chloride and is considered an irreplaceable resource with a high vulnerability to contamination (Mink and Lau, 1990).

The nearest drinking water supply well is the DON Well 2254-01, located in the infiltration gallery within the RHSF. DON Well 2254-01 is located approximately 2,400 feet downgradient of the USTs (Figure 2).

#### 1.3 BACKGROUND

The RHSF was constructed by the U.S. Government in the early 1940s. Twenty USTs and a series of tunnels were constructed to supply fuel to the Navy. The USTs were constructed of steel and they currently contain JP-5, JP-8, and F-76. Several tanks in the past have stored

DON special fuel oil, DON distillate, aviation gasoline, and motor gasoline (Environet, 2010). The fueling system is a self-contained underground unit that was installed into native rock comprised primarily of basalt with some interbedded tuffs and breccias (Environet, 2010). Each UST measures approximately 250 feet in height and 100 feet in diameter. The upper domes of the tanks lie at depths varying between 100 feet and 200 feet below ground surface.

In response to increasing concentrations of contaminants of potential concern [COPCs] in the groundwater monitoring wells within the facility (specifically RHMW02) during the 2008 sampling events, quarterly groundwater monitoring was initiated in 2009 at the outside tunnel wells.

In 2009, groundwater samples were collected from wells RHMW04, OWDFMW01, and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected in August and October 2009. None of the COPCs were detected at concentrations exceeding the current gross contamination or drinking water toxicity DOH EALs.

In 2010, groundwater samples were collected from wells RHMW04, OWDFMW01, and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected from well RHMW04 in January and April 2010. Samples were collected from well OWDFMW01 in January, April, and October 2010. Samples were collected from well HDMW2253-03 in January, April, July and October 2010. The COPCs concentrations exceeding current DOH EALs are summarized below.

- HDMW2253-03 TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the gross contamination and drinking water toxicity DOH EAL in January 2010 (The Environmental Company, Inc. [TEC], 2010a).
- **OWDFMW01** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the gross contamination and drinking water toxicity DOH EALs in January and April 2010 (TEC, 2010a; TEC, 2010b).

In 2011, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected in January, April, July, and October 2011. None of the COPCs were detected at concentrations exceeding the current gross contamination or drinking water toxicity DOH EALs. In Fall 2011, the DOH EALs were revised. The drinking water toxicity EAL for TPH-d decreased from 210 to 190  $\mu g/L$ .

In 2012, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected in January, April, July, and November 2012. TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs in samples collected from wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01 (Environet, 2012; ESI, 2013a). The COPCs concentrations exceeding current DOH EALs are summarized below.

- HDMW2253-03 TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in April and November 2012.
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in April 2012.

In 2013, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected in January, April, July, and October 2013. TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs in samples collected from wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01 (ESI, 2013b, 2013c, 2013d, and 2014a). The COPCs concentrations exceeding current DOH EALs are summarized below.

- HDMW2253-03 TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in January 2013.
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in all four quarters during 2013.

In January 2014, an additional groundwater sampling was conducted at HDMW2253-03 in response to a suspected release from Tank 5. None of the COPC concentrations exceeded the current DOH EALs (ESI, 2014b).

In January 2014, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03 (ESI 2014c). TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs in samples collected from well OWDFMW01. The COPC concentrations exceeding current DOH EALs are summarized below.

 OWDFMW01 – TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EAL for gross contamination.

In April 2014, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03 (ESI 2014d). TPH-d was detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs in samples collected from both wells. The COPC concentrations exceeding current DOH EALs are summarized below.

- HDMW2253-03 TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for both gross contamination and drinking water toxicity.
- **OWDFMW01** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for both gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in one of the two samples collected from this well.

After reviewing the chromatograms and the historical data for the three samples, it was determined that the TPH-d subsample for the sample from HDMW2253-03 and the duplicate sample from OWDFMW01 likely had been switched. If this was the case, the TPH-d concentrations detected in OMDFMW01 would exceed both gross contamination and drinking water DOH EALs and the TPH-d concentration detected in HDMW2253-03 would be below both DOH EALs.

#### 1.3.1 Previous Reports

The following groundwater monitoring reports were previously submitted to the DOH:

- 1. Groundwater Monitoring Report, August 2009 (submitted September 2009).
- 2. Groundwater Monitoring Report, October 2009 (submitted December 2009).
- 3. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January, 2010 (submitted April 2010).
- 4. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2010 (submitted May 2010).
- 5. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2010 (submitted August 2010).
- 6. Groundwater Monitoring Report, October 2010 (submitted December 2010).
- 7. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January 2011 (submitted March 2011).
- 8. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2011 (submitted June 2011).
- 9. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2011 (submitted September 2011).
- 10. Groundwater Monitoring Report, October 2011 (submitted December 2011).
- 11. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January 2012 (submitted March 2012).
- 12. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2012 (submitted July 2012).
- 13. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2012 (submitted August 2012).
- 14. Groundwater Monitoring Report, November 2012 (submitted January 2013).
- 15. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January 2013 (submitted April 2013).
- 16. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2013 (submitted July 2013).
- 17. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2013 (submitted September 2013).
- 18. Groundwater Monitoring Report, October 2013 (submitted January 2014).
- 19. Groundwater Monitoring Report for Additional Sampling of HDMW2253-03, January 2014 (submitted February 2014).
- 20. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January 2014 (submitted April 2014).
- 21. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2014 (submitted June 2014).

#### **SECTION 2 – GROUNDWATER SAMPLING**

On July 23 and 24, 2014, ESI personnel collected groundwater samples from three monitoring wells (wells OWDFMW01, HDMW2253-03, and RHMW04). A primary and duplicate groundwater sample were collected from well OWDFMW01. The samples were collected in accordance with the 2012 WP/SAP (ESI, 2012). The WP/SAP is consistent with DOH UST release response requirements (DOH, 2000); DoN Procedure I-C-3, *Monitoring Well Sampling* (DoN, 2007); and the RHSF Groundwater Protection Plan (TEC, 2008). Prior to purging and sampling, the depth to groundwater and the depth to the bottom of the wells were measured by ESI using a Geotech oil/water interface probe. The measurements are included in the groundwater sampling logs. No measurable product, sheen, or petroleum hydrocarbon odor was observed in any of the wells.

#### 2.1 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Prior to collecting groundwater samples, the monitoring wells were purged of standing water in the well casings. Wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03 were purged using disposable bailers. Well RHMW04 contains a dedicated bladder pump which was used to purge the well and to collect samples. The monitoring wells were purged at rates of 0.31 to 0.42 liters per minute.

Water quality parameters were monitored on a periodic basis during well purging. The water quality parameters that were measured included hydrogen activity [pH], temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and oxidation reduction potential. The water quality parameters were evaluated to demonstrate that the natural characteristics of the aquifer formation water were present within the monitoring well before collecting the sample. At least four readings were collected during the purging process. Purging was considered complete when at least three consecutive water quality measurements stabilized within approximately 10%. The readings were recorded on groundwater monitoring logs which are included in Appendix A. The field notes are included in Appendix B.

When the water quality parameters stabilized, groundwater samples were collected from the wells. The disposable bailers or dedicated bladder pump were used to collect the groundwater samples from the monitoring wells. For each monitoring well, the groundwater samples were collected no more than two hours after purging was completed to prevent groundwater interaction with the monitoring well casing and atmosphere. Samples collected for dissolved lead were filtered in the field using a 0.45 micron filter.

#### 2.2 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The samples were analyzed for TPH-d using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] Method 8015M, TPH-g and Volatile Organic Compounds [VOCs] using EPA Method 8260B, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons [PAHs] using EPA Method 8270C SIM, and dissolved lead using EPA Method 6020. The analytical results are described below and summarized in Table 2.1. A copy of the laboratory report is included in Appendix C.

- HDMW2253-03 None of the chemical constituents analyzed for were detected.
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d (17 and 15 μg/L), naphthalene (0.031 and 0.027 μg/L), and acetone (6.4 and 9.8 μg/L) were detected in both the primary and duplicate sample. None of the detected concentrations exceeded the DOH EALs.
- RHMW04 TPH-d (17 μg/L) was the only analyte detected. The TPH-d concentration detected did not exceed the DOH EALs.

Methylene chloride was detected (0.71  $\mu$ g/L) in the trip blank submitted on July 23, 2014. Methylene chloride was not detected in any of the groundwater samples, and it is unlikely that this affects data usability.

#### 2.2.1 Groundwater Contaminant Trends

The historical groundwater contaminant concentration trends for COPCs that exceed the DOH EALs are illustrated in Appendix D. A summary of groundwater contaminant trends is provided below.

- HDMW2253-03 No COPCs were detected during this round of quarterly sampling. With the exception of a possibly erroneous result obtained during the previous event in April 2014, TPH-d concentrations have not exceeded both DOH EALs in well HDMW2253-03 since January 2013 (600 μg/L).
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d was detected in both samples from this well at concentrations below DOH EALs. TPH-d concentrations in this well have been generally decreasing since an increase in November 2012. The TPH-d concentrations in the samples were below both DOH EALs for the first time since July 2012. Concentrations of all other COPCs detected during this round of quarterly sampling were consistent with historical data.
- RHMW04 TPH-d was detected in well RHMW04 at a concentration below the DOH EALs.
   This well had not been sampled since April 2010. TPH-d had never been detected in samples from this well; however, the laboratory LODs were an order of magnitude higher in the past and above both the concentration detected during this round and the current DOH EALs.

#### 2.3 WASTE DISPOSAL

The purged groundwater and decontamination water generated during sampling of the wells were stored in a 55-gallon drum along with the purged water and decontamination water from the inside tunnel wells. The drum is currently stored onsite at ADIT 3 on top of a secondary containment spill pallet and covered by a tarp. There is a non-hazardous label affixed to the drum with all pertinent information relating to its generation. The drum will be used for future sampling events and will be properly disposed of once it has been filled.

Contract No. N62742-12-D-1853 Contract Task Order 0002

#### **TABLE 2.1**

### Analytical Results for Groundwater Sampling (July 23 and 24, 2014) Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility **July 2014 Quarterly Monitoring Report**

			DOH EALs		OWDFMW01 (ES109)			OWDFMW01 (ES110) (Dup)						HDMW2253-03 (ES111)					RHMW04 (ES112)				
Method	Chemical	Drinking Water Toxicity	Gross Contamination	Results	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL	Results	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL	Results	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL	Results	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL
EPA 8015B	TPH-d	190	100	17	HD,J	26	13	12	15	HD,J	25	12	11	N.D.	U	25	12	11	17	HD, J	25	12	11
EPA 8260B	TPH-g	100 370	100	N.D.	U	50	30	26	N.D.	U	50	30	26	N.D.	U	50	30	26	N.D.	U	50	30	26
	Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene	240	20 2,000	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051 0.051	0.021 0.018	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.05 0.05	0.021 0.018	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053 0.053	0.021 0.018	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.052 0.052	0.021 0.018
	Anthracene	1,800	22	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.018	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.016	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.016	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.016
	Benzo[a]anthracene	0.092	4.7	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.051	0.024	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.034	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.053	0.033	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.052	0.024
	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1,500	0.13	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.022	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.022	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.053	0.022	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.052	0.022
	Benzo[a]pyrene	0.2	0.81	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.036	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.036	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.037	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.037
	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.092	0.75	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.025
	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.92	0.4	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.023	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.023	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.024	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.024
EPA 8270C SIM	Chrysene	9.2	1	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.019	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.019	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.019	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.019
	Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene1	0.0092	0.52	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.027	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.027	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.027	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.027
	Fluoranthene Fluorene	1,500 240	130 950	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051 0.051	0.027 0.024	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.05 0.05	0.027 0.024	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053 0.053	0.028 0.025	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.052 0.052	0.028 0.025
	Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.092	0.095	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.024	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.024	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.025
	1,-Methylnaphthalene	4.7	10	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.051	0.028	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.022	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.053	0.022	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.052	0.029
	2,-Methylnaphthalene	24	10	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.051	0.026	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.027	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.023	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.027
	Naphthalene	17	21	0.031	J	0.2	0.051	0.023	0.027	J	0.2	0.05	0.023	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.053	0.023	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.052	0.023
	Phenanthrene	240	410	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.030	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.031	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.031	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.031
	Pyrene	180	68	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.053	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.052	0.025
	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.52	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.40	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.40	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.40	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.40
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane <sup>1</sup>	0.067	500	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.41	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.41	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.41	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.41
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	200 5	970 50,000	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.30	N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.30 0.38	N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5	0.30	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.30
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethane	2.4	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0 5.0	0.5 0.5	0.38 0.28	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.38	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5 0.5	0.36	N.D.	U	1.0 5.0	0.5 0.5	0.38
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	7	1,500	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.28	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.43	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.43
	1,2,3-Trichloropropane <sup>1</sup>	0.6	50,000	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	Ü	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	Ü	5.0	1.0	0.64
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	70	3,000	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.5	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.5	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.5	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.5
	1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane <sup>1</sup>	0.04	10	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.2	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.2	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.2	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.2
	1,2-Dibromoethane <sup>1</sup>	0.04	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.36	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.36	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.36	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.36
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	10	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.46	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.46	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.46	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.46
	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.15	7,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24
	1,2-Dichloropropane 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5 180	10 5	N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.42	N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.42	N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.42	N.D. N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.42
	1,3-Dichloropropene (total of	0.43	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.4	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.4	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.4
	cis/trans) 1 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	5	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23
	Acetone	22,000	20,000	6.4	J,IH,ICH	20	10	6.0	9.8	J,IH,ICH	20	10	6.0	N.D.	U,IH,ICH	20	10	6.0	N.D.	U,IH,ICH	20	10	6.0
	Benzene	5	170	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14
	Bromodichloromethane <sup>1</sup>	0.12	50,000	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.21	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.21	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.21	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.21
	Bromoform	80	510	N.D.	U	10	1.0	0.50	N.D.	U	10	1.0	0.50	N.D.	U	10	1.0	0.50	N.D.	U	10	1.0	0.50
EPA 8260B	Bromomethane	8.7	50,000	N.D.	U	20	5.0	3.9	N.D.	U	20	5.0	3.9	N.D.	U	20	5.0	3.9	N.D.	U	20	5.0	3.9
	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	520	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23
	Chlorobenzene Chloroethane	100 21,000	50 16	N.D.	U	5.0 10	0.5 5.0	0.17 2.3	N.D.	U	5.0 10	0.5 5.0	0.17 2.3	N.D.	U	5.0 10	0.5 5.0	0.17 2.3	N.D.	U	5.0 10	0.5 5.0	0.17 2.3
	Chloroform	70	2,400	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.46	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.46	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.46	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.46
	Chloromethane <sup>1</sup>	1.8	50,000	N.D.	Ü	10	2.0	1.8	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.8	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.8	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.8
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	70	50,000	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.48	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.48	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.48	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.48
	Dibromochloromethane <sup>1</sup>	0.16	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25
	Ethylbenzene	700	30	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14
	Hexachlorobutadiene Methyl ethyl ketone (2-	0.86	6	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.32	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.32	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.32	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.32
	Butanone)	7,100	8,400	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.2	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.2	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.2	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.2
	Methyl isobutyl ketone (4- Methyl-2-Pentanone)	2,000	1300	N.D.	U	10	5.0	4.4	N.D.	U	10	5.0	4.4	N.D.	U	10	5.0	4.4	N.D.	U	10	5.0	4.4
	Methyl tert-butyl Ether	12	5	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.31	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.31	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.31	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.31
	Methylene chloride	4.8	9,100	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64
	Styrene	100	10	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.17	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.17	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.17	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.17
	Tetrachloroethylene Toluene	5 1,000	170 40	N.D. N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.39 0.24	N.D. N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.39 0.24	N.D. N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.39 0.24	N.D. N.D.	U	5.0 1.0	0.5 0.5	0.39 0.24
	trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene	100	260	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24
	Trichloroethylene	5	310	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.37	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.37	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.37	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.37
	Vinyl chloride	2	3,400	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.30	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.30	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.30	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.30
	Xylenes	10,000	20	N.D.	U	11	1.5	0.23	N.D.	Ü	11	1.5	0.23	N.D.	U	11	1.5	0.23	N.D.	U	11	1.5	0.23
EPA 6020	Dissolved Lead	15	50.000	N.D.	J	1.0	0.2	0.0898	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.2	0.0898	N.D.	U	1.0	0.2	0.0898		Ü	1.0	0.2	0.0898

The data are in micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L). Shaded values exceeded the DOH EALs. LOD for this analyte exceeds the DOH EAL

Analyte was present in the associated method blank.

DOH Tier 1 Environmental Action Levels for groundwater where groundwater is a current drinking water source and surface water is greater than 150 meters from the site (DOH, Fall 2011).

Detection Limit or Method Detection Limit (MDL)

DOH EALS DL EPA HD ICH IH Environmental Protection Agency
The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard.

Initial calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte.

Calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte.

Analyte was detected at a concentration below the LOQ and above the DL. Reported value is estimated.

LOQ LOD N.D. Limit of Quantitation Limit of Detection Not Detected

2-3

Qualifiers Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel Undetected at DL and is reported as less than the LOD.

Contract No. N62742-12-D-1853

This Page Intentionally Left Blank.

#### **SECTION 3 – DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT**

A data quality assessment, which consists of a review of the overall groundwater sample collection and analysis process, was performed in order to determine whether the analytical data generated met the quality objectives for the project. The data quality assessment was performed in accordance with the approved WP/SAP (ESI, 2012). The field Quality Control [QC] program consisted of standardized sample collection and management procedures, and the collection of field duplicate samples, matrix spike samples, and trip blank samples. The laboratory quality assurance program consisted of the use of standard analytical methods and the preparation and analyses of Matrix Spike [MS]/Matrix Spike Duplicate [MSD] samples, surrogate spikes, blanks, and Laboratory Control Samples [LCSs]/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates [LCSDs].

#### 3.1 DATA VALIDATION AND ASSESSMENT

The objective of data validation is to provide data of known quality for project decisions. Data quality is judged in terms of Precision, Accuracy, Representativeness, Completeness, Comparability, and Sensitivity [PARCCS]. A number of factors may affect the quality of data, including: sample collection methods, sample analysis methods, and adherence to established procedures for sample collection, preservation, management, shipment, and analysis.

#### Precision

Precision is defined as the reproducibility of replicate measurements. Precision is evaluated by Relative Percentage Difference [RPD] of field duplicates, LCS/LCSD, and MS/MSD results. Field duplicate and MS/MSD samples were collected at a rate of approximately 10% of project samples. Field duplicates were sent to the laboratory along with the primary samples.

The RPDs of detected analytes for the primary and field duplicate samples (ES109 and ES110) are provided in Table 3.1. A precision of less than 50% for duplicate pairs is required by the DoN Project Procedures Manual to be considered acceptable (DoN 2007). For this monitoring event, the RPDs for duplicate sample pairs all met acceptance criteria. In addition, all RPDs for MS/MSD and LCS/LCSD pairs were also below the control limit.

#### Accuracy

Accuracy is defined as the degree of conformity of a measurement to a standard or true value. Accuracy is evaluated through measurement of the percent recovery of an analyte in a reference standard or spiked sample. Accuracy limits for surrogates, laboratory control spike, MS, and MSD samples are established by the individual laboratory. The acceptance criteria for accuracy are dependent on the analytical method and are based on historical laboratory data.

Between August 2009 and July 2010, naphthalene was analyzed for by both EPA Methods 8260B and 8270C, and beginning in October 2010, only results using EPA Method 8270C were reported. Naphthalene was not detected in groundwater from either well HDMW2253-03 or OWDFMW01 until November 2012 and has never been detected in RHMW04; however, when

both methods were used for samples collected from inside well RHMW02, concentrations of naphthalene detected by EPA Method 8260B were generally two to three times higher than those detected by EPA Method 8270C. We assume this is due to the better preservation of VOCs associated with the use of EPA Method 8260B. This suggests that the naphthalene results provided by EPA Method 8270C may be biased low. Naphthalene concentrations in samples collected beginning in October 2010 were analyzed using EPA Method 8270C and results may be biased low. However, naphthalene concentrations in project samples have been orders of magnitude below DOH EALs, and this potential low bias should not affect project decisions.

Results for TPH-d in samples ES109, ES110, and ES112 were flagged "HD." The laboratory indicated a mismatch between the calibration standard and the TPH-d chromatographic profile. Mismatches of this type are not uncommon, and may indicate decreased accuracy in the TPH-d result.

All of the LCS and surrogate spike recoveries for analyzed constituents were within acceptable percent recovery limits, except for the LCS percent recoveries for acetone (193% and 201%). Acetone was detected in groundwater samples; however, concentrations detected were three orders of magnitude below the DOH EALs. Thus, a potential high bias is unlikely to affect project decisions.

The MS and MSD recoveries were below the control limits for naphthalene and 1-methylnapthalene, and the MSD recovery was below the control limit for 2-methylnapthalene; however the sample that the MS/MSD was performed on was not a project sample, and the LCS/LCSD recoveries for naphthalene, 1-methylnapthalene, and 2-methylnapthalene were within the control limits, indicating the low MS/MSD recoveries are not indicative of a laboratory issue. As the MS/MSD was not performed on a project sample, the evaluation of matrix effects is not applicable to this project and does not affect data usability. The MS and MSD recoveries were also below the control limits for 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane. Results for this analyte may be biased low; however, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane has never historically been detected during this project in any well. The MS and/or MSD recoveries were above the control limits for acetone and trichloroethene; the associated sample results may be biased high. Neither of these COPCs were detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs, so a potential high bias should not affect data usability.

All other MS/MSD recoveries were within acceptable recovery limits; therefore, the data accuracy for this monitoring event is considered acceptable.

#### Representativeness

Representativeness is the degree to which data accurately and precisely represents a characteristic of a population, parameter variations at a sampling point, or an environmental condition. Representativeness was achieved by conducting sampling in accordance with the sample collection procedures described in the project WP/SAP, including standardized sample collection methods (ESI, 2012).

Representativeness is also evaluated through the compliance with the standardized sample holding time and sample preservation methods, and through the analysis of blank samples, including method blank and trip blank samples. For this sampling event, all sample holding time and sample preservation were consistent with EPA guidance.

For this sampling event, one trip blank was included in every cooler containing samples for VOC and TPH-g analysis to assess the potential for contamination during sample transport. Two trip blanks were collected. Methylene chloride was the only COPC detected, and in only one of the trip blanks (0.71  $\mu$ g/L), at a concentration below the LOD, indicating potential contamination during handling or transport. Because methylene chloride was not detected in any of the project groundwater samples, this QC exceedance does not constitute a significant problem and is considered insignificant. Based on the assessment of representativeness, the groundwater sample data are considered representative of the groundwater quality at the site. A summary of the trip blank results is provided in Table 3.1.

#### Completeness

Completeness is defined as the overall percentage of valid analytical results (including estimated results) compared to the total number of analytical results reported by the laboratory. No data were rejected for this project, and therefore the completeness goal for this project (90%) was successfully met.

#### Comparability

Comparability expresses the confidence with which one data set can be compared to another data set. Comparability can be related to accuracy and precision because these quantities are measures of data reliability. Data with acceptable precision and accuracy are considered comparable if collection techniques, analytical procedures, methods and reporting are equivalent. For this monitoring event, the samples were collected using approaches consistent with those in the previous events, and the same analytical methods/procedures were used to measure the concentration of COPCs. Therefore, the results are considered comparable within this data set and with the data collected from previous sampling events. The field and laboratory personnel followed standard operating procedures.

As discussed above, between August 2009 and July 2010, naphthalene was analyzed for by both EPA Methods 8260B and 8270C, and beginning in October 2010, only results using EPA Method 8270C were reported. Naphthalene concentrations obtained using EPA Method 8270C may be biased low; however, naphthalene was not detected in groundwater from either well HDMW2253-03 or OWDFMW01 until November 2012 and has never been detected in RHMW04, so comparability with older results should not be a concern. If naphthalene concentrations increase, the low bias associated with Method 8270C should be considered when making project decisions.

All project samples for TPH-g analysis through July 2010 were analyzed by EPA Method 8015; beginning in October 2010, EPA Method 8260B was used. There was no event where both methods were used, and so there is no way to directly compare the results using each method

and determine if one method produces biased results. However, there is no reason to believe that using either method should bias the data, and the TPH-g data for all events should be comparable.

#### Sensitivity

The LOQs are established by the laboratory based on the LODs or instrument detection limits, historical data, and EPA limits established for the various methods. The LOQs for samples may require adjustment due to matrix interference or if high levels of target analytes necessitate dilution before analysis. Matrix interference and sample dilutions have the effect of decreasing sensitivity and increasing the LOQs. Laboratory LODs and LOQs for several analytes (EPA Methods 8260 and 8270) for this event differed from the LODs and LOQs in the WP/SAP because the laboratory updates them quarterly and in some cases, dilution was necessary due to the presence of high concentrations of analytes.

For this event, LODs and LOQs for several analytes were greater than the DOH EALs (as stated in the WP/SAP) and therefore it is not possible to determine whether the analytes are present at concentrations greater than or equal to the DOH EALs. The lack of the required sensitivity should be considered when making project decisions. The affected analytes for this monitoring event are 1,2,3-trichloropropane, 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-dibromoethane, 1,2-dichloropropene, bromodichloromethane, chloromethane, dibromochloromethane, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, and dibenzo[a,h]anthracene.

#### 3.2 DATA ASSESSMENT AND USABILITY CONCLUSIONS

The PARCCS criteria were evaluated, and with a few exceptions, all criteria were met. These exceptions include low MS/MSD recoveries for naphthalene, 1-methylnapthalene, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, and high MS/MSD recoveries for acetone and trichloroethene. Acetone recoveries in the LCS were also high. As discussed above, for naphthalene and 1-methylnapthalene, the MS/MSD was not performed on a sample from this project and is not applicable to the evaluation of matrix effects. Trichloroethene. and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane were not detected in groundwater samples during this event and have not been historically detected, so biases to the associated result should not be a significant issue. Acetone was detected in groundwater samples; however, concentrations detected were three orders of magnitude below the DOH EALs, and this potential high bias is unlikely to affect project decisions. The data assessment concludes that all data generated during this event are usable for their intended purpose.

Contract No. N62742-12-D-1853 Contract Task Order 0002

#### **TABLE 3.1 Quality Control Results for Groundwater Sampling (July 23 and 24, 2014)** Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility **July 2014 Quarterly Monitoring Report**

		DOH		OW	DFMW01 (ES	109)		OWDFMW01 (ES110) (DUP)					RPD	ES Trip					
Method	Chemical Constituent	Drinking Water Toxicity	Gross Contamination	Results	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL	Results	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL	Duplicate (%)	Results	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL
EPA 8015B	TPH-d	190	100	17	HD,J	26	13	12	15	HD,J	25	12	11	12.50	-	-	-	-	-
EPA 8260B	TPH-g	100	100	N.D.	U	50	30	26	N.D.	U	50	30	26	NA	N.D.	U	50	30	26
	Acenaphthene	370	20	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.021	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.021	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Acenaphthylene	240	2,000	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.018	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.018	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Anthracene	1,800	22	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.034	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.034	NA NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Benzo[a]anthracene	0.092 1,500	4.7 0.13	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.051 0.051	0.024 0.022	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.05 0.05	0.024 0.022	NA NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene Benzo[a]pyrene	0.2	0.13	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.022	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.022	NA NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.092	0.75	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.025	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.025	NA NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.92	0.4	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.051	0.023	N.D.	Ü	0.2	0.05	0.023	NA NA	-	-	-	-	-
ED4 00700 0114	Chrysene	9.2	1	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.019	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.019	NA	-	-	-	-	-
EPA 8270C SIM	Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	0.0092	0.52	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.027	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.027	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Fluoranthene	1,500	130	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.027	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.027	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Fluorene	240	950	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.024	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.024	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.092	0.095	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.022	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.022	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	1,-Methylnaphthalene	4.7	10	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.028	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.028	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	2,-Methylnaphthalene	24	10	N.D.	U	0.2	0.051	0.026	N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.027	NA 10.70	-	-	-	-	-
	Naphthalene	17	21	0.031	J	0.2	0.051	0.023	0.027	J	0.2	0.05	0.023	13.79	-	-	-	-	-
	Phenanthrene Pyrene	240 180	410 68	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.051 0.051	0.030 0.025	N.D. N.D.	U	0.2	0.05	0.031 0.025	NA NA	-	-	-	-	-
-	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.52	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.051	0.025	N.D.	U	1.0	0.05	0.025	NA NA	N.D.	- U	1.0	0.5	0.40
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.067	500	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.41	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.40	NA NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.41
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	200	970	N.D.	Ü	5.0	0.5	0.30	N.D.	Ü	5.0	0.5	0.30	NA NA	N.D.	Ü	5.0	0.5	0.30
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.38	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.38	NA	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.38
	1,1-Dichloroethane	2.4	50,000	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.28	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.28	NA	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.28
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	7	1,500	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.43	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.43	NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.43
	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.6	50,000	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	NA	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	70	3,000	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.5	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.5	NA	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.5
	1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane	0.04	10	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.2	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.2	NA	N.D.	U	10	2.0	1.2
	1,2-Dibromoethane	0.04	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.36	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.36	NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.36
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	10	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.46	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.46	NA NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.46
	1,2-Dichloroethane 1,2-Dichloropropane	0.15 5	7,000 10	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0 5.0	0.5 0.5	0.24 0.42	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0 5.0	0.5 0.5	0.24	NA NA	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0 5.0	0.5 0.5	0.24 0.42
	1,3-Dichloropropane  1,3-Dichlorobenzene	180	5	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.42	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.42	NA NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.42
	1,3-Dichloropropene (total of cis/trans)	0.43	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25	NA NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.25
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	5	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.43	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.43	NA NA	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.43
	Acetone	22,000	20,000	6.4	J,IH,ICH	20	10	6.0	9.8	J,IH,ICH	20	10	6.0	41.98	N.D.	U,IH,ICH	20	10	6.0
	Benzene	5	170	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14	NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.14
	Bromodichloromethane	0.12	50,000	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.21	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.21	NA	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.21
	Bromoform	80	510	N.D.	U	10	1.0	0.50	N.D.	U	10	1.0	0.50	NA	N.D.	U	10	1.0	0.50
EPA 8260B	Bromomethane	8.7	50,000	N.D.	U	20	5.0	3.9	N.D.	U	20	5.0	3.9	NA	N.D.	U	20	5.0	3.9
	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	520	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23	NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.23
	Chlorobenzene	100	50	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.17	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.17	NA NA	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.17
	Chloroform	21,000	16	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.3	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.3	NA NA	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.3
	Chloroform Chloromethane	70 1.8	2,400 50,000	N.D. N.D.	U	5.0 10	0.5 2.0	0.46 1.8	N.D. N.D.	U	5.0 10	0.5 2.0	0.46 1.8	NA NA	N.D. N.D.	U,	5.0 10	0.5 2.0	0.46 1.8
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	70	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.48	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.48	NA NA	N.D.	U,	1.0	0.5	0.48
	Dibromochloromethane	0.16	50,000	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.45	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.48	NA NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.45
	Ethylbenzene	700	30	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.14	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.14	NA NA	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.5	0.14
	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.86	6	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.32	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.32	NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.32
	Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	7,100	8,400	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.2	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.2	NA	N.D.	U	10	5.0	2.2
	Methyl isobutyl ketone (4-Methyl-2-Pentanone)	2,000	1300	N.D.	U	10	5.0	4.4	N.D.	U	10	5.0	4.4	NA	N.D.	U	10	5.0	4.4
	Methyl tert-butyl Ether	12	5	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.31	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.31	NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.31
	Methylene chloride	4.8	9,100	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64	NA	N.D.	U	5.0	1.0	0.64
	Styrene	100	10	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.17	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.17	NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.17
	Tetrachloroethylene	5	170	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.39	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.39	NA NA	N.D.	U	5.0	0.5	0.39
	Toluene	1,000 100	40	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24 0.37	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24	NA NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.24
	trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene Trichloroethylene	100	260 310	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0	0.5 0.5	0.37	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.37	NA NA	N.D. N.D.	U	1.0	0.5 0.5	0.37
	Vinyl chloride	2	3,400	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.30	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.30	NA NA	N.D.	U	1.0	0.5	0.30
	Xylenes	10,000	20	N.D.	U	11	1.5	0.23	N.D.	U	11	1.5	0.23	NA NA	N.D.	U	11	1.5	0.33
EPA 6020	Dissolved Lead	15	50,000	N.D.	J	1.0	0.2	0.0898	N.D.	Ü	1.0	0.2	0.0898	NA NA	-	-	-	-	-
	in micrograms per liter (ug/L). Shaded values exceeded th	L										•		•					

The data are in micrograms per liter (µg/L). Shaded values exceeded the DOH EALs.

B Analyte was present in the associated method blank.

DOH EALs DOH Tier 1 Environmental Action Levels for groundwater where groundwater is a current drinking water source and surface water is greater than 150 meters from the site (DOH, Fall 2011).

Detection Limit or Method Detection Limit (MDL)

DL EPA HD ICH IH

Detection Limit or Method Detection Limit (MDL)
Environmental Protection Agency
The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard.
Initial calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte.
Calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte.
Analyte was detected at a concentration below the LOQ and above the DL. Reported value is estimated.
Limit of Detection

J LOD

LOQ NA N.D.

Limit of Quantitation
Both results for duplicate pair were non-detect, no RPD calculations

Qualifiers
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel
Undetected at DL and is reported as less than the LOD.

Contract No. N62742-12-D-1853

This Page Intentionally Left Blank.

#### SECTION 4 – SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This quarterly monitoring report presents the results of groundwater sampling conducted on July 23 and 24, 2014, at the RHSF, JBPHH, Hawaii. The RHSF is located in Halawa Heights on the Island of Oahu. The DOH Facility ID number for the RHSF is 9-102271. The DOH Release ID numbers are 990051, 010011, 020028, and 140010.

The groundwater sampling was conducted as part of the long-term groundwater and soil vapor monitoring at the RHSF, under NAVFAC Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853. The sampling was conducted in accordance with the approved WP/SAP prepared by ESI.

ESI personnel collected groundwater samples from three monitoring wells (wells HDMW2253-03, OWDFMW01, and RHMW04). A primary and duplicate groundwater sample were collected from well OWDFMW01. A summary of the analytical results is provided below.

- HDMW2253-03 None of the chemical constituents analyzed for were detected.
- **OWDFMW01** TPH-d (17 and 15 μg/L), naphthalene (0.031 and 0.027 μg/L), and acetone (6.4 and 9.8 μg/L) were detected in both the primary and duplicate sample. None of the detected concentrations exceeded the DOH EALs.
- RHMW04 TPH-d (17 μg/L) was the only analyte detected. The TPH-d concentration detected did not exceed the DOH EALs.

Methylene chloride was detected (0.71  $\mu$ g/L) in the trip blank submitted on July 23, 2014. Methylene chloride was not detected in any of the groundwater samples, and it is unlikely that this affects data usability.

#### **Groundwater Contaminant Trends**

Historical groundwater contaminant concentration trends of COPCs that exceeded the DOH EALs are presented in Appendix D. A summary of groundwater contaminant trends is provided below.

- HDMW2253-03 No COPCs were detected during this round of quarterly sampling. With the exception of a possibly erroneous result obtained during the previous event in April 2014, TPH-d concentrations have not exceeded both DOH EALs in well HDMW2253-03 since January 2013 (600 μg/L).
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d was detected in both samples from this well at concentrations below DOH EALs. TPH-d concentrations in this well have been generally decreasing since an increase in November 2012. The TPH-d concentrations in the samples were below both DOH EALs for the first time since July 2012. Concentrations of all other COPCs detected during this round of quarterly sampling were consistent with historical data.

RHMW04 – TPH-d was detected in well RHMW04 at a concentration below the DOH EALs.
This well had not been sampled since April 2010. TPH-d had never been detected in
samples from this well; however, the laboratory limits of detection (LODs) were an order of
magnitude higher in the past and above both the concentration detected during this round
and the current DOH EALs.

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

Since the wells were last sampled (April 2014 for wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01; and April 2010 for well RHMW04), groundwater contaminant concentrations remained at low concentrations and did not change significantly, or were not detected. No COPCs were detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs.

Based on the results of the assessment, continued groundwater monitoring at the RHSF is recommended. If the TPH-d concentrations significantly increase, the monitoring frequency should be increased to monthly, even though wells RHMW04, HDMW2253-03, and OWDFMW01 are not included in the RHSF Groundwater Protection Plan.

#### **SECTION 5 – FUTURE WORK**

Future work includes the fourth quarter 2014 groundwater monitoring, which is tentatively scheduled for October 2014. A quarterly groundwater monitoring report will be prepared to document the sampling event

This Page Intentionally Left Blank.

#### **SECTION 6 – REFERENCES**

Atlas of Hawaii, 1983, Department of Geography, University of Hawaii Press.

DLNR, 1985, Pan Evaporation: State of Hawai'i 1894-1983: Report R74, Division of Water and Land Development, August 1995.

DLNR, 1986, Rainfall Atlas of Hawaii: Report R76, Division of Water and Land Development, June 1986.

DOH, 2000, Hawaii Department of Health, Technical Guidance Manual for Underground Storage Tank Closure and Release Response, March 2000.

DOH, 2011, Screening for Environmental Hazards at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater, Hawai'i Department of Health, Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response, December 2011.

DOH, 2013, Hawaii Administrative Rules Title 11, Department of Health, Chapter 281 Underground Storage Tanks (HAR 11-281), Subchapter 7.

DON, 2007, Project Procedures Manual, U.S. Navy Installation Restoration Program, NAVFAC Pacific, Prepared for Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC Pacific), February 2007.

Environet, 2010, Work Plan, Long-Term Monitoring, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, September 2010.

Environet, 2012, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report-Outside (Non-Tunnel Wells), Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, July 2012.

ESI, 2012, Work Plan/Sampling and Analysis Plan, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, October 2012.

ESI, 2013a, Fourth Quarter 2012 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, January 2013.

ESI, 2013b, First Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, April 2013.

ESI, 2013c, Second Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, July 2013.

ESI, 2013d, Third Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, September 2013.

ESI, 2014a, Fourth Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, January 2014.

ESI, 2014b, Groundwater Sampling Report for Additional Sampling of HDMW2253-03, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, February 2014.

ESI, 2014c, First Quarter 2014 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, April 2014.

ESI, 2014d, Second Quarter 2014 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, June 2014.

Foote et al., 1972, Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii.

Mink, J. F. and Lau, L. S., 1990, Aquifer Identification and Classification for Oahu: Groundwater Protection Strategy for Hawaii: Water Resources Research Center Technical Report No. 179, February 1990.

Stearns, H. T. and Vaksvik, K. N., 1935, Geology and Groundwater Resources of the Island of Oahu, Hawaii: Hawaii Div. Hydrogr. Bull.

Stearns, H. T. and Vaksvik, K. N., 1938, Records of the Drilled Wells on the Island of Oahu, Hawaii: Hawaii Div. Hydrogr. Bull. 4, 213 p.


TEC, 2008, Final Groundwater Protection Plan, Red Hill Fuel Storage Facility, Prepared for Navy Region Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, January 2008.


TEC, 2010a, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report – Outside (Non-Tunnel) Wells, Prepared for Navy Region Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, April 2010.


TEC, 2010b, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report – Outside (Non-Tunnel) Wells, Prepared for Navy Region Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, May 2010.


### **FIGURES**











## APPENDIX A Groundwater Sampling Logs





Well ID: OW	DFMW01	Location:	Red Hill	Bulk Fuel Stor	age Facility	Project No.:	112066		
Initial Water	Level: 120	.57 ft	Date:	7/24/2014		Time: 82	25		
Total Depth	of Well:	144.74 ft	_ Personn	nel Involved:	Justin L	am, Jeff Hattem	ner		
Length of Sa	turated Zone:		_ Weathe	Weather Conditions:		Overcast			
Volume of W	ater to be Rer	moved: 5.0 L	Method of Removal:		Dispos	er			
Water Level After Purging: 120.57 ft			Pumping	g Rate:	0				
Well Purge D									
Time a	Volume		Conductivity	DO (m m/l)	Tomonomotives	Colinity	Redox (ORP)		
Time	Removed	<u>pH</u>	(mS/cm)	DO (mg/l)	Temperature	Salinity	(mV)		
835	0.0 L	11.36	2.741	3.30	23.78				
837	1.0 L	11.40	2.857	2.20	23.76				
839	2.0 L	11.41	2.882	2.34	23.71				
841	3.0 L	11.43	2.874	2.25	23.67				
844	4.0 L	11.42	2.874	2.23	23.68		-23.8		
847	5.0 L	11.42	2.88	2.30	23.64				
				•	<u> </u>	_	_		
				•	<u> </u>	_	_		
				-		_			
				-		_			
					· -				
				-		_			
Sample With	drawal Metho	d: Disp	osable Hand	Bailer					
Appearance	of Sample:				•				
	Color:		Clear						
	Turbidity:		Low		•				
	Sediment:	W	hite Particles		•				
	Other:		None		•				
I aboratory A	nalvsis Param	eters and Pres	ervatives:	TPH-d - 8015	; TPH-g, VOCs -	- 8260· PAHs - 8	270c sim:		
Laboratory	anaryolo i aram		civatives.	lead - 6020	, 11 11 g, v 0 0 0	0200,171110	2700 31111,		
Number and	Types of Sam	inle Containers	· 16 - 40ml \		mber jar, 4 - 500ı	ml amher iar 4 -	500ml HDPF		
	tification Num				; ES110 [1000]	in amoor jar, 1	COOMITIES E		
•	ation Procedur			ionineD [eeee]	, 20110 [1000]				
		alinity paramet							
Sampled by:		n, Jeff Hatteme							
Sampled Del			nvironmenta	l Lab	Transporters: F	edEx			
Date: 7/24	1/2014				Time: 1100				
		Ca	pacity of Cas	ing (Gallons/Li	near Feet)				

2"-0.16 • 4"-0.65 • 8"-2.61 • 10"-4.08 • 12"-5.87



Well ID: HDI	MW2253-03	Location:	Red Hill	Bulk Fuel Stor	age Facility	Project No.:	112066
Initial Water	Level: 20	08.08 ft	Date:	7/23/2014		Time: 8	357
Total Depth	of Well:	1575 ft	Person	nel Involved:	Kirk Ma	rkle, Jeff Hatte	mer
Length of Sa	turated Zone	e: <u>1367 ft</u>	Weathe	er Conditions:		Sunny, Hot	
Volume of W	ater to be R	emoved: 4.0 L	Method of Removal:		Dispos	iler	
Water Level	Water Level After Purging: 208.08 ft			ng Rate:	0		
Well Purge D	Data:						
	Volume		onductivity	( ")			Redox (ORP)
<u>Time</u>	Removed		(mS/cm)	DO (mg/l)	Temperature	Salinity	
907	0.0 L	7.66	0.439	1.66	23.06		95.3
934	1.0 L	7.41	0.413	5.01	22.96		145.6
939	2.0 L	7.2	0.418	2.29	22.54		118.6
942	2.5 L	7.15	0.413	2.89	22.56		110.8
950	3.0 L	7.23	0.407	2.51	22.56		115.9
955	4.0 L	7.06	0.422	2.55	22.47		-110.4
		. <u> </u>			<u> </u>		
						_	
						_	
Sample With		od: Dispe	osable Hand	d Bailer			
Appearance	•						
	Color:		Tan		<u>.</u>		
	Turbidity:		Low				
	Sediment:	Low - Sm	nall Black Pa	articles			
	Other:		None		<u>.</u>		
Laboratory A	nalysis Para	meters and Prese	rvatives:	TPH-d - 8015	; TPH-g, VOCs -	- 8260; PAHs -	8270c sim;
,	,			lead - 6020	<i>,</i>	•	•
Number and	Types of Sa	imple Containers:	6 - 40ml V	•	ber iar. 1 - 500m	ıl amber iar. 1 -	- 250ml HDPE
Sample Iden		-		<u> </u>	50. jai, 1 000ii		2001111111111
Decontamina							
		salinity paramete					
Sampled by:		kle, Jeff Hattemer					
Sampled Del		Calscience E		al Lab	Transporters: F	edEx	
•	3/2014				Time: 1500		
		Cap	acity of Cas	sing (Gallons/Li	near Feet)		

2"-0.16 • 4"-0.65 • 8"-2.61 • 10"-4.08 • 12"-5.87



Well ID:	RHMW04	Location:	Red Hil	I Bulk Fuel Stora	ge Facility	Project No.:	114017
Initial Water	Level:2	294.33 ft	Date:	7/23/2014		Time: 1353	
Total Depth	of Well:	305 ft	Person	nel Involved:	Kirk Maı	kle, Jeff Hattemer	·
Length of S	aturated Zoı	ne: 11 ft	Weather Conditions:				
Volume of V	Water to be	Removed: 7.0 L	Method	d of Removal:	Dedicat	ed Bladder Pump	
Water Leve	l After Purgi	ng: 294.33 ft	Pumpir	ng Rate:	0.	39 L/min	
Well Purge	Data:						
	Volume		onductivity		_		Redox (ORP)
Time	Removed		(mS/cm)	DO (mg/l)	Temperature	Salinity	(mV)
1330	0.0 L	8.41	0.400	8.87	23.66		126.7
1334	1.0 L	8.15	0.398	8.19	22.91		80.3
1337	2.0 L	7.87	0.399	7.85	22.54		52.7
1339	3.0 L	7.77	0.392	8.16	22.35		44.3
1341	4.0 L	7.65	0.399	7.93	22.27		39.3
1343	5.0 L	7.61	0.399	7.81	22.29		38.3
1345	6.0 L	7.58	0.391	8.10	22.36		39.4
1348	7.0 L	7.56	0.399	7.85	22.31	<u> </u>	43.0
						- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
Sample Wit	hdrawal Me	thod: Dedic	ated Bladde	er Pump			
Appearance				<u> </u>			
, .pp	Color:		Clear				
	Turbidity:		None				
	Sediment:		None				
	Other:		None				
Laboratory A	Analysis Paı	rameters and Prese	rvatives:	TPH-d - 8015;	TPH-q, VOCs -	8260; PAHs - 827	'0c sim;
,	,			lead - 6020	<i>J</i> ,	•	,
Number and	d Types of S	Sample Containers:	6 - 40ml V	-	per iar. 1 - 500m	l amber iar. 1 - 25	Oml HDPE
Sample Ide	• •	•			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>,-</b> ,	
Decontamir							
		e salinity paramete					
Sampled by		arkle, Jeff Hattemer					
Sampled De		Calscience E		al Lab	Transporters: Fe	edEx	
	3/2014				Time: 1500		
		Cap	pacity of Ca	sing (Gallons/Lir	near Feet)		

2"-0.16 • 4"-0.65 • 8"-2.61 • 10"-4.08 • 12"-5.87



## APPENDIX B Field Notes



Purpose C-W Samptry. Personnel JH, KM 0820 JH @ Haluna poson 0835 DLNR o'nsil: (PG),
0835 KM onsite. Safety metris.
Enter prism.
0857 Gange HDMW 2253-03
DTW= 208.08 btoc.
1000 U gal purged, Collected
sample ESIII. @ Rod Hill Gate, Regular
accies to RILMWUY 1100 Called 474-222 1185 coded opens gale. Set up @ RHMVOY DTV = 294,31 i bru.
Setting up nitrogen tank
and control box to sampk 1200 w/ dedicated gump

Location RHSF Date 7/23/14 65

Project / Client NAVEN

e 11		14	Cur	duct	100	, r	Tem	0	1	Red
Time !	101	117		SPEN	129/	4				
1330	0	8,41		400	8%	57	23	66		26
1334		8,15	0	398	-8.	19	22	.91	18	50
1337	2	7.87		399	7,8	55	22,	54	C	,2
1339	3	7.77	- 0	392	18,1	6	22	35	, L	14
1341	4	7,65	0	399	7.9		22			39
1343	5	7.61	()	399			22,	29		8
1345	6	7,58		399	18,10		22,			9
1348	7	7,56	-	1,399	7,8		22.			3.
Wat		Jone	Ma	She	en.	10		dor		
	Div	27	au	5he	101	C		OI		
1353		1.0	1	7	. 10		ES	11	2	
1355		lecte		Sam	pie			11	2	
1425		epan	+	R	101	n	1).			
1430	L	unch	\ 0	E	to.	F	-			- 1
1500	6		ru	0	0		/ /	-1		
1525	Dn	ppr	4	08	7	5	רוין ה	Ph	C	,
	Hia	7	10	A	14	C	95	7		
	re			nigro	yen	U	وداله	معلان	-	
					)					
							,			
										•
							+			

66 Location RHSI Date 7/24/14

Project / Client NAVIAC Purgue: GW Sompling

Personnel; SH SU

Weather; Partly Cloudy

Opto @ 08Fc. Load ree,

0815 @ Red Hill, Sossify many

Gorge Ow DFM Wo) &

DTW: 208-08: Hore 120,57' bec

Begin purging Ow DFM Wo) W had hier.

Purged S Liters.

Ogco collected Somple Eslog

Eslog Ms/195D, and Eslip (duplicate

listed @ 1000).

1010 Bake packing Samples. Durped

water in drum @ 0w5


- 1/3 Fail,

Go to get got and to

Fed Bx.

1045 Shipped samples pepart Fed Fx.

ocation	1.5	_ Date	 07
Project / Client			



# APPENDIX C Laboratory Reports





## **Calscience**



## **WORK ORDER NUMBER: 14-07-1643**

The difference is service



AIR | SOIL | WATER | MARINE CHEMISTRY

**Analytical Report For** 

Client: Environmental Science International, Inc.

Client Project Name: Red Hill LTM 112066

**Attention:** Robert Chong

354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Richard Vellas

Approved for release on 07/31/2014 by:

Richard Villafania Project Manager



ResultLink >

Email your PM >

Eurofins Calscience, Inc. (Calscience) certifies that the test results provided in this report meet all NELAC requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAC requirements are noted in the case narrative. The original report of subcontracted analyses, if any, is attached to this report. The results in this report are limited to the sample(s) tested and any reproduction thereof must be made in its entirety. The client or recipient of this report is specifically prohibited from making material changes to said report and, to the extent that such changes are made, Calscience is not responsible, legally or otherwise. The client or recipient agrees to indemnify Calscience for any defense to any litigation which may arise.



## **Contents**

Client Project Name: Red Hill LTM 112066 Work Order Number: 14-07-1643

1	Work Order Narrative	3
2	Client Sample Data	4 4 5 6 9
3	Quality Control Sample Data.  3.1 MS/MSD.  3.2 PDS/PDSD.  3.3 LCS/LCSD.	17 17 21 22
4	Sample Analysis Summary	27
5	Glossary of Terms and Qualifiers	28
6	Chain-of-Custody/Sample Receipt Form	29



#### **Work Order Narrative**

Work Order: 14-07-1643 Page 1 of 1

#### **Condition Upon Receipt:**

Samples were received under Chain-of-Custody (COC) on 07/24/14. They were assigned to Work Order 14-07-1643.

Unless otherwise noted on the Sample Receiving forms all samples were received in good condition and within the recommended EPA temperature criteria for the methods noted on the COC. The COC and Sample Receiving Documents are integral elements of the analytical report and are presented at the back of the report.

#### **Holding Times:**

All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times (HT) and/or in accordance with the Calscience Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the analytical report and/or comprehensive case narrative, if required.

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.

#### **Quality Control:**

All quality control parameters (QC) were within established control limits except where noted in the QC summary forms or described further within this report.

#### **Additional Comments:**

Air - Sorbent-extracted air methods (EPA TO-4A, EPA TO-10, EPA TO-13A, EPA TO-17): Analytical results are converted from mass/sample basis to mass/volume basis using client-supplied air volumes.

New York NELAP air certification does not certify for all reported methods and analytes, reference the accredited items here: http://www.calscience.com/PDF/New\_York.pdf

Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are always reported on a wet weight basis.

#### **Subcontractor Information:**

Unless otherwise noted below (or on the subcontract form), no samples were subcontracted.



Environmental Science International, Inc. Date Received: 07/24/14 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Work Order: 14-07-1643 EPA 3510C Kailua, HI 96734-2500 Preparation: Method: EPA 8015B (M) Units: ug/L

Page 1 of 1 Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Client Sample N	Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES111		14-07-1643-1-H	07/23/14 10:00	Aqueous	GC 46	07/25/14	07/26/14 11:30	140725B12
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to	the MDL (DL), cond	centrations >= to	the MDL (DL	.) but < RL (LO	Q), if found, a	re qualified with a	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LO	<u>Q</u>	<u>DF</u>	<b>Qualifiers</b>
TPH as Diesel		<12	11	12	25		1.00	U
Surrogate		Rec. (%)	Control Lim	nits Qualif	<u>iers</u>			
n-Octacosane		75	51-141					
E0440		44.07.4642.0.11	07/02/44	A	00.46	07/05/4.4	07/06/44	4.4070FD40

ES112	14-	-07-1643-2-Н	07/23/14 A 13:55	queous	GC 46	07/25/14	07/26/14 11:47	140725B12
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to the	MDL (DL), conce	entrations >= to the	e MDL (DL	) but < RL (L0	DQ), if found, are	qualified with a "	J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	LOD	<u>L(</u>	<u>DQ</u> <u>C</u>	<u>)</u> F	<u>Qualifiers</u>
TPH as Diesel		17	11	12	25	5 1	.00	HD,J
<u>Surrogate</u>		Rec. (%)	Control Limits	<u>Qualif</u>	<u>iers</u>			
n-Octacosane		75	51-141					

Method Blank		099-15-516-160	N/A	Aqueous	GC 46	07/25/14	07/26/14 08:00	140725B12
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to	the MDL (DL), cond	entrations >= to th	ne MDL (DL	_) but < RL (Le	OQ), if found, ar	e qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>0Q</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
TPH as Diesel		<25	23	25	5	0	1.00	U
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	Control Limit	<u>s Qualif</u>	<u>iers</u>			
n-Octacosane		70	51-141					



Environmental Science International, Inc. Date Received: 07/24/14 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Work Order: 14-07-1643 EPA 3005A Filt. Kailua, HI 96734-2500 Preparation: Method: EPA 6020

> Units: ug/L

Page 1 of 1 Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Client Sample Number		Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES111		14-07-1643-1-G	07/23/14 10:00	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/25/14	07/28/14 16:26	140725L05D
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to	the MDL (DL), cond	centrations >= t	o the MDL (DI	but < RL (LO	Q), if found, a	re qualified with a	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LO</u>	Q	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead		<0.200	0.0898	0.200	1.0	0	1.00	U

ES112	14-07-1643-2-G	07/23/14 13:55	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03		7/28/14 140725L05D 6:28
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), con	ncentrations >= t	o the MDL (DL	but < RL (LOQ)	), if found, are qua	lified with a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead	<0.200	0.0898	0.200	1.00	1.00	U

Method Blank	099-14-497-8	8 N/A	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/25/14	07/28/14 15:57	140725L05D
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL),	concentration	ns >= to the MDL (D	L) but < RL (LOC	), if found, ar	re qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	LOD	LOC	<u>l</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead	<0.200	0.0	0.200	1.00		1.00	U





Environmental Science International, Inc.

354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received:

Work Order:

Preparation:

EPA 3510C EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Method: Units:

ug/L

07/24/14

14-07-1643

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES111	14-07-1643-1-J	07/23/14 10:00	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 18:42	140728L01
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated	to the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= to tl	he MDL (DL	.) but < RL (LOC	Q), if found, a	re qualified with a	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	LOD	LOC	<u>Q</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Naphthalene	< 0.053	0.024	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
2-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.053	0.028	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
1-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.053	0.030	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Acenaphthylene	< 0.053	0.019	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Acenaphthene	< 0.053	0.022	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Fluorene	< 0.053	0.026	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Phenanthrene	< 0.053	0.032	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Anthracene	< 0.053	0.036	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Fluoranthene	< 0.053	0.029	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Pyrene	< 0.053	0.026	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (a) Anthracene	< 0.053	0.025	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Chrysene	< 0.053	0.020	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	< 0.053	0.025	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	< 0.053	0.026	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (a) Pyrene	< 0.053	0.038	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	< 0.053	0.023	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	< 0.053	0.028	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	<0.053	0.023	0.053	0.21		1.00	U
<u>Surrogate</u>	Rec. (%)	Control Limi	its Qualif	<u>iers</u>			
Nitrobenzene-d5	67	28-139					
2-Fluorobiphenyl	73	33-144					
p-Terphenyl-d14	63	23-160					



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received:

07/24/14 14-07-1643

Work Order: Preparation:

EPA 3510C

Method:

EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES112	14-07-1643-2-J	07/23/14 13:55	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 19:06	140728L01
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to	the MDL (DL), cond	centrations >= to t	he MDL (DL	) but < RL (LOC	(a), if found, are	e qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	LOD	LOC	2	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Naphthalene	< 0.052	0.024	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
2-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.052	0.028	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
1-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.052	0.030	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Acenaphthylene	< 0.052	0.019	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Acenaphthene	< 0.052	0.022	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Fluorene	< 0.052	0.026	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Phenanthrene	< 0.052	0.032	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Anthracene	< 0.052	0.036	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Fluoranthene	< 0.052	0.028	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Pyrene	< 0.052	0.026	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (a) Anthracene	< 0.052	0.025	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Chrysene	< 0.052	0.020	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	< 0.052	0.024	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	< 0.052	0.026	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (a) Pyrene	< 0.052	0.038	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	< 0.052	0.023	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	< 0.052	0.028	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	<0.052	0.023	0.052	0.21		1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limi	its Qualif	<u>iers</u>			
Nitrobenzene-d5	62	28-139					
2-Fluorobiphenyl	67	33-144					
p-Terphenyl-d14	59	23-160					



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received:

07/24/14 14-07-1643

Work Order: Preparation:

EPA 3510C

Method:

EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
Method Blank	099-15-148-52	N/A	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 13:03	140728L01
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to	the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= to t	he MDL (DL	) but < RL (LOC	Q), if found, a	re qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>)</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Naphthalene	<0.050	0.023	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
2-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.050	0.026	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
1-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.050	0.028	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Acenaphthylene	< 0.050	0.018	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Acenaphthene	< 0.050	0.021	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Fluorene	< 0.050	0.024	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Phenanthrene	< 0.050	0.031	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Anthracene	< 0.050	0.034	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.027	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Pyrene	< 0.050	0.025	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Benzo (a) Anthracene	< 0.050	0.024	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Chrysene	< 0.050	0.019	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.023	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.025	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Benzo (a) Pyrene	< 0.050	0.036	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	< 0.050	0.022	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	< 0.050	0.027	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	<0.050	0.022	0.050	0.20	)	1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limi	its Qualif	<u>iers</u>			
Nitrobenzene-d5	90	28-139					
2-Fluorobiphenyl	86	33-144					
p-Terphenyl-d14	87	23-160					



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order:

07/24/14 14-07-1643

Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L Page 1 of 8

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES111	14-07-1643-1-A	07/23/14 10:00	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	07/24/14 21:33	140724L018
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to	o the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= t	o the MDL (DI	_) but < RL (LOC	Q), if found, are q	ualified with	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>DF</u>	: -	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Acetone	<10	6.0	10	20	1.0	00	U,IH,ICH
Benzene	< 0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10	1.0	00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20	1.0	00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10	1.0	00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Chlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10	1.0	00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10	1.0	00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	<0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Methylene Chloride	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.0	00	U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10	1.0	00	U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Toluene	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0	1.0	00	U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0	1.0		U



Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

#### **Analytical Report**

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation: Method:

Qualifiers

07/24/14 14-07-1643 EPA 5030C

Units:

<u>DL</u> 0.38 0.37

GC/MS / EPA 8260B ug/L

Page 2 of 8

<u>Parameter</u>	Result
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.50
Trichloroethene	<0.50
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50

1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26

<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	Qualifiers
0.50	1.0	1.00	U
0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1.0	5.0	1.00	U
0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1.0	10	1.00	U
0.50	1.0	1.00	U
0.50	1.0	1.00	U
30	50	1.00	U

Rec. (%)	Control Limit
100	80-126
98	80-134
100	80-120
100	88-112
94	80-120
	100 98 100 100





Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received:

07/24/14

Work Order: Preparation:

14-07-1643 EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 3 of 8

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES112	14-07-1643-2-A	07/23/14 13:55	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	07/24/14 22:00	140724L018
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to	o the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= t	o the MDL (DI	_) but < RL (LOC	), if found, are	qualified with	a "J" flag.
Parameter	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Acetone	<10	6.0	10	20	1.	00	U,IH,ICH
Benzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
Bromodichloromethane	<0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0	1.	00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10	1.	00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20	1.	00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10	1.	00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
Chlorobenzene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0	1.	00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10	1.	00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0	1.	00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10	1.	00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10	1.	00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	<0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0	1.	00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0	1.	00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
Methylene Chloride	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.	00	U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10	1.	00	U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0		00	U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0	1.	00	U
Toluene	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0		00	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0	1.	00	U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0	1.	00	U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	< 0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0	1.	00	U



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation: Method: 07/24/14 14-07-1643 EPA 5030C GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 4 of 8

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	LOD	LOQ	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.38	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Trichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30	1.0	10	1.00	U
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26	30	50	1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers			
Dibromofluoromethane	99	80-126				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	80-134				
Toluene-d8	100	80-120				
Toluene-d8-TPPH	100	88-112				
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	80-120				



Environmental Science International, Inc.

354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500 Date Received:

07/24/14 14-07-1643

Work Order: Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 5 of 8

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES TRIP	14-07-1643-3-A	07/23/14 08:00	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	07/24/14 21:06	140724L018
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), concentrations >= to the MDL (DL) but < RL (LOQ), if found, are qualified with a "J" flag.							
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>Q</u>	<u>DF</u>	<b>Qualifiers</b>
Acetone	<10	6.0	10	20		1.00	U,IH,ICH
Benzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Bromodichloromethane	<0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10		1.00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20		1.00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10		1.00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Chlorobenzene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10		1.00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10		1.00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10		1.00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	<0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Methylene Chloride	0.71	0.64	1.0	5.0		1.00	J
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10		1.00	U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Toluene	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0		1.00	U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0		1.00	U



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation: Method:

07/24/14 14-07-1643 EPA 5030C GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

1,4-Bromofluorobenzene

Page 6 of 8

<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<b>Qualifiers</b>
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	< 0.50	0.38	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Trichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30	1.0	10	1.00	U
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26	30	50	1.00	U
<u>Surrogate</u>	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers			
Dibromofluoromethane	101	80-126				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	80-134				
Toluene-d8	100	80-120				
Toluene-d8-TPPH	99	88-112				

80-120

94



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order:

07/24/14 14-07-1643

Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 7 of 8

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
Method Blank	099-13-057-59	N/A	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	07/24/14 17:21	140724L018
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated	to the MDL (DL), cor	ncentrations >= t	o the MDL (DI	_) but < RL (LO	Q), if found, are q	ualified with	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOG	<u>DF</u>	<u>:</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Acetone	<10	6.0	10	20	1.0	00	U
Benzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Bromodichloromethane	<0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10	1.0	00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20	1.0	00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10	1.0	00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Chlorobenzene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10	1.0	00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10	1.0	00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	< 0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0	1.0		U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.0		U
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0	1.0	00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.0	00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.0		U
Methylene Chloride	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.0	00	U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10	1.0		U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0	1.0		U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.0		U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0	1.0		U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0	1.0		U
Toluene	< 0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.0		U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0	1.0		U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0	1.0		U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0	1.0		U



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation:

Method: Units:

07/24/14 14-07-1643 EPA 5030C

GC/MS / EPA 8260B ug/L

Page 8 of 8

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<b>Qualifiers</b>
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.38	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Trichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30	1.0	10	1.00	U
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26	30	50	1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
Dibromofluoromethane	97	80-126				
1 2-Dichloroethane-d4	91	80-134				





## **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1643

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

EPA 3005A Filt.

EPA 6020

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 1 of 4

Quality Control Sample ID	Type		Matrix	In	strument	Date Prepared	Date Ana	lyzed	MS/MSD Bat	ch Number
ES111	Sample		Aqueous	s IC	P/MS 03	07/25/14	07/28/14	16:26	140725S05C	
ES111	Matrix Spike		Aqueous	s IC	P/MS 03	07/25/14	07/28/14	16:00	140725S05C	
ES111	Matrix Spike Duplicate		Aqueous I		P/MS 03	07/25/14	07/28/14	16:02	140725S05C	
Parameter	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> Added	MS Conc.	MS %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Lead	ND	100.0	107.1	107	104.5	104	80-120	2	0-20	

07/24/14

14-07-1643

EPA 3510C



Kailua, HI 96734-2500

#### **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc. Date Received: Work Order: 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Preparation: Method: EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 2 of 4

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре		Matrix		Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Ana	lyzed	MS/MSD Ba	tch Number
14-07-1466-2	Sample		Aqueous		GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	14 07/29/14 21:31		140728S01	
14-07-1466-2	Matrix Spike		Aqueous		GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 13:52		140728S01	
14-07-1466-2	Matrix Spike	Duplicate	Aqueou	s	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 14:16 140728S01			
<u>Parameter</u>	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> Added	MS Conc.	MS %Re	MSD c. Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Naphthalene	70.83	2.000	59.97	0	64.80	0	21-133	8	0-25	3
2-Methylnaphthalene	19.64	2.000	21.30	83	18.58	0	21-140	14	0-25	3
1-Methylnaphthalene	25.19	2.000	25.26	4	24.73	0	20-140	2	0-25	3
Acenaphthylene	ND	2.000	1.370	68	1.495	75	33-145	9	0-25	
Acenaphthene	0.5154	2.000	1.776	63	1.936	71	49-121	9	0-25	
Fluorene	0.2363	2.000	1.536	65	1.689	73	59-121	10	0-25	
Phenanthrene	ND	2.000	1.313	66	1.517	76	54-120	14	0-25	
Anthracene	ND	2.000	1.421	71	1.551	78	27-133	9	0-25	
Fluoranthene	ND	2.000	1.282	64	1.431	72	26-137	11	0-25	
Pyrene	ND	2.000	1.192	60	1.344	67	18-168	12	0-25	
Benzo (a) Anthracene	ND	2.000	1.252	63	1.416	71	33-143	12	0-25	
Chrysene	ND	2.000	1.298	65	1.459	73	17-168	12	0-25	
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	ND	2.000	1.137	57	1.284	64	24-159	12	0-25	
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	ND	2.000	1.117	56	1.282	64	24-159	14	0-25	
Benzo (a) Pyrene	ND	2.000	1.181	59	1.348	67	17-163	13	0-25	
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	ND	2.000	1.190	60	1.329	66	10-171	11	0-25	
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	ND	2.000	1.195	60	1.342	67	10-219	12	0-25	
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	ND	2.000	1.252	63	1.389	69	10-227	10	0-25	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



#### **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1643

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 3 of 4

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре		Matrix	1	nstrument	Date Prepared	Date Ana	lyzed	MS/MSD Bat	ch Number
14-07-1461-2	Sample		Aqueous	(	GC/MS OO 07/24/14		07/24/14	07/24/14 18:50 1		
14-07-1461-2	Matrix Spike		Aqueous	(	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	07/24/14			
14-07-1461-2	Matrix Spike	Duplicate	Aqueous	(	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	07/24/14	19:45	140724S011	
Parameter	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> Added	MS Conc.	MS %Rec	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Acetone	ND	50.00	45.93	92	44.61	89	40-140	3	0-20	
Benzene	ND	50.00	52.61	105	52.43	105	80-120	0	0-20	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	50.00	53.02	106	53.40	107	75-120	1	0-20	
Bromoform	ND	50.00	50.11	100	50.93	102	70-130	2	0-20	
Bromomethane	ND	50.00	46.44	93	43.81	88	30-145	6	0-20	
2-Butanone	ND	50.00	45.72	91	45.90	92	30-150	0	0-20	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	50.00	51.80	104	50.78	102	65-140	2	0-20	
Chlorobenzene	ND	50.00	53.37	107	52.75	106	80-120	1	0-20	
Chloroethane	ND	50.00	43.75	87	41.78	84	60-135	5	0-20	
Chloroform	ND	50.00	51.48	103	51.48	103	65-135	0	0-20	
Chloromethane	ND	50.00	39.72	79	38.85	78	40-125	2	0-20	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	50.00	53.70	107	53.69	107	60-135	0	0-20	
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ND	50.00	44.59	89	43.62	87	50-130	2	0-20	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	50.00	51.00	102	50.60	101	80-120	1	0-20	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	51.70	103	51.57	103	70-120	0	0-20	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	52.13	104	52.22	104	75-125	0	0-20	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	49.74	99	49.43	99	75-125	1	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	50.00	50.78	102	49.85	100	70-135	2	0-20	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	50.00	50.54	101	50.80	102	70-130	1	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	50.00	53.61	107	53.12	106	70-130	1	0-20	
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	50.00	57.55	115	56.84	114	70-125	1	0-20	
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	50.00	56.94	114	56.18	112	60-140	1	0-20	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	50.00	52.36	105	51.62	103	75-125	1	0-20	
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	50.00	55.22	110	55.11	110	70-130	0	0-20	
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	50.00	52.67	105	53.51	107	55-140	2	0-20	
Ethylbenzene	ND	50.00	52.22	104	51.42	103	75-125	2	0-20	
Methylene Chloride	ND	50.00	56.25	112	54.64	109	55-140	3	0-20	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	ND	50.00	51.98	104	52.11	104	60-135	0	0-20	
Styrene	ND	50.00	52.76	106	50.71	101	65-135	4	0-20	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	50.00	50.52	101	50.22	100	80-130	1	0-20	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	50.00	52.22	104	52.68	105	65-130	1	0-20	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	50.00	46.24	92	45.42	91	45-150	2	0-20	
Toluene	ND	50.00	52.49	105	52.03	104	75-120	1	0-20	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	49.79	100	50.22	100	65-135	1	0-20	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	50.00	51.18	102	49.72	99	65-130	3	0-20	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



## **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1643

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

Date Received:

07/24/14

Work Order:

14-07-1643

EPA 5030C

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 4 of 4

<u>Parameter</u>	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> Added	MS Conc.	MS %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	ND	50.00	46.88	94	46.51	93	50-140	1	0-20	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	50.00	51.87	104	51.99	104	75-125	0	0-20	
Trichloroethene	ND	50.00	52.23	104	51.54	103	70-125	1	0-20	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	50.00	49.12	98	48.80	98	75-125	1	0-20	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	50.00	43.56	87	41.00	82	50-145	6	0-20	
p/m-Xylene	ND	100.0	104.2	104	101.9	102	75-130	2	0-20	
o-Xylene	ND	50.00	54.00	108	53.89	108	80-120	0	0-20	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	1.625	50.00	51.99	101	52.57	102	65-125	1	0-20	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



## **Quality Control - PDS**

Environmental Science International, Inc. Date Received: 07/24/14 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Work Order: 14-07-1643 Preparation: EPA 3005A Filt. Kailua, HI 96734-2500 EPA 6020 Method:

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 1 of 1

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	N	/latrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	PDS/PDSD Batch Number
ES111	Sample	A	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/25/14 00:00	07/28/14 16:26	140725S05C
ES111	PDS	A	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/25/14 00:00	07/28/14 16:03	140725S05C
<u>Parameter</u>		Sample Conc.	Spike Added	PDS Conc.	PDS %Re	ec. %Rec. C	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead		ND	100.0	101.0	101	75-125	





## **Quality Control - LCS/LCSD**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1643

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

Page 1 of 5

Project: Rea Hill LTM 112066	Page 1 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	Mat	trix	Instrument	Date Pre	pared Date	Analyzed	LCS/LCSD Ba	atch Number
099-15-516-160	LCS	Aqı	ueous	GC 46	07/25/14	07/26	6/14 08:17	140725B12	
099-15-516-160	LCSD	Aqu	ueous	GC 46	07/25/14	07/26	6/14 08:34	140725B12	
Parameter	Spike Added	LCS Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
TPH as Diesel	4000	4417	110	4392	110	60-132	1	0-11	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



## **Quality Control - LCS**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1643

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

EPA 3005A Filt.

EPA 6020

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 2 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Type	Matrix	Instrument I	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	LCS Batch Number
099-14-497-88	LCS	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/25/14	07/28/14 15:58	140725L05D
<u>Parameter</u>		Spike Added	Conc. Recovere	ed LCS %Re	ec. %Rec	. CL Qualifiers
Lead		100.0	101.6	102	80-120	0

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



## **Quality Control - LCS**

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Date Received: Work Order:

14-07-1643

07/24/14

EPA 3510C

Preparation: Method:

EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Page 3 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared D	ate Analyzed LCS Ba	tch Number
099-15-148-52	LCS	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14 07	7/29/14 13:28 140728	L01
Parameter		Spike Added	Conc. Recovere	ed LCS %Rec.	%Rec. CL	Qualifiers
Naphthalene		2.000	1.361	68	21-133	
2-Methylnaphthalene		2.000	1.230	61	21-140	
1-Methylnaphthalene		2.000	1.226	61	20-140	
Acenaphthylene		2.000	1.167	58	33-145	
Acenaphthene		2.000	1.271	64	55-121	
Fluorene		2.000	1.315	66	59-121	
Phenanthrene		2.000	1.379	69	54-120	
Anthracene		2.000	1.393	70	27-133	
Fluoranthene		2.000	1.385	69	26-137	
Pyrene		2.000	1.333	67	45-129	
Benzo (a) Anthracene		2.000	1.343	67	33-143	
Chrysene		2.000	1.447	72	17-168	
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene		2.000	1.265	63	24-159	
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene		2.000	1.294	65	24-159	
Benzo (a) Pyrene		2.000	1.273	64	17-163	
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene		2.000	1.408	70	25-175	
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene		2.000	1.350	67	25-175	
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene		2.000	1.500	75	25-157	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



## **Quality Control - LCS/LCSD**

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Date Received:

07/24/14 14-07-1643

Work Order: Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Page 4 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	Mat	rix	Instrument	Date Pre	pared [	Date Analyzed	LCS/LCSD Ba	atch Number
099-13-057-59	LCS	Aqı	ueous	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	0	7/24/14 15:56	140724L018	
099-13-057-59	LCSD	Aqı	ueous	GC/MS OO	07/24/14	0	7/24/14 16:23	140724L018	
<u>Parameter</u>	Spike Added	LCS Conc.	<u>LCS</u> %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec.	CL RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Acetone	50.00	100.6	201	N/A	N/A	40-140	N/A	0-20	Χ
Benzene	50.00	49.87	100	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
Bromodichloromethane	50.00	50.57	101	N/A	N/A	75-120	N/A	0-20	
Bromoform	50.00	50.14	100	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
Bromomethane	50.00	45.86	92	N/A	N/A	30-145	N/A	0-20	
2-Butanone	50.00	70.70	141	N/A	N/A	30-150	N/A	0-20	
Carbon Tetrachloride	50.00	48.42	97	N/A	N/A	65-140	N/A	0-20	
Chlorobenzene	50.00	51.13	102	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
Chloroethane	50.00	44.62	89	N/A	N/A	60-135	N/A	0-20	
Chloroform	50.00	48.66	97	N/A	N/A	65-135	N/A	0-20	
Chloromethane	50.00	42.01	84	N/A	N/A	40-125	N/A	0-20	
Dibromochloromethane	50.00	52.41	105	N/A	N/A	60-135	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	50.00	44.48	89	N/A	N/A	50-130	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dibromoethane	50.00	50.10	100	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	50.00	49.86	100	N/A	N/A	70-120	N/A	0-20	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	50.00	49.93	100	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	50.00	47.74	95	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethane	50.00	49.47	99	N/A	N/A	70-135	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dichloroethane	50.00	48.26	97	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethene	50.00	50.71	101	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	50.00	54.23	108	N/A	N/A	70-125	N/A	0-20	
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	50.00	54.07	108	N/A	N/A	60-140	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dichloropropane	50.00	50.01	100	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	50.00	54.58	109	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	50.00	53.36	107	N/A	N/A	55-140	N/A	0-20	
Ethylbenzene	50.00	49.29	99	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
Methylene Chloride	50.00	54.05	108	N/A	N/A	55-140	N/A	0-20	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	50.00	50.83	102	N/A	N/A	60-135	N/A	0-20	
Styrene	50.00	50.98	102	N/A	N/A	65-135	N/A	0-20	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	50.00	48.64	97	N/A	N/A	80-130	N/A	0-20	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	50.00	48.25	96	N/A	N/A	65-130	N/A	0-20	
Tetrachloroethene	50.00	52.74	105	N/A	N/A	45-150	N/A	0-20	
Toluene	50.00	49.72	99	N/A	N/A	75-120	N/A	0-20	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	50.00	49.28	99	N/A	N/A	65-135	N/A	0-20	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50.00	47.96	96	N/A	N/A	65-130	N/A	0-20	
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	50.00	44.80	90	N/A	N/A	50-140	N/A	0-20	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: 0

CL: Control Limits





## **Quality Control - LCS/LCSD**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1643

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

Date Received:

07/24/14

Work Order:

14-07-1643

EPA 5030C

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 5 of 5

<u>Parameter</u>	Spike Added	LCS Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	<u>RPD</u>	RPD CL	Qualifiers
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	50.00	50.58	101	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
Trichloroethene	50.00	50.61	101	N/A	N/A	70-125	N/A	0-20	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	50.00	47.18	94	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
Vinyl Chloride	50.00	44.69	89	N/A	N/A	50-145	N/A	0-20	
p/m-Xylene	100.0	98.41	98	N/A	N/A	75-130	N/A	0-20	
o-Xylene	50.00	51.83	104	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	50.00	49.42	99	N/A	N/A	65-125	N/A	0-20	
Gasoline Range Organics	1000	987.2	99	992.5	99	80-120	1	0-20	



## **Sample Analysis Summary Report**

Work Order: 14-07-1643	Page 1 of 1			
Method	Extraction	Chemist ID	Instrument	Analytical Location
EPA 6020	EPA 3005A Filt.	598	ICP/MS 03	1
EPA 8015B (M)	EPA 3510C	847	GC 46	1
EPA 8270C SIM PAHs	EPA 3510C	923	GC/MS AAA	1
GC/MS / EPA 8260B	EPA 5030C	849	GC/MS OO	2

Location 1: 7440 Lincoln Way, Garden Grove, CA 92841 Location 2: 7445 Lampson Avenue, Garden Grove, CA 92841



### **Glossary of Terms and Qualifiers**

Work Order: 14-07-1643 Page 1 of 1

	-
Qualifiers	<u>Definition</u>
*	See applicable analysis comment.
<	Less than the indicated value.
>	Greater than the indicated value.
1	Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to a required sample dilution. Therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification.
2	Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was in control and, therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification.
3	Recovery of the Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) compound was out of control due to suspected matrix interference. The associated LCS recovery was in control.
4	The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to suspected matrix interference.
5	The PDS/PDSD or PES/PESD associated with this batch of samples was out of control due to suspected matrix interference.
6	Surrogate recovery below the acceptance limit.
7	Surrogate recovery above the acceptance limit.
В	Analyte was present in the associated method blank.
BU	Sample analyzed after holding time expired.
BV	Sample received after holding time expired.
DL	The Detection Limit (DL) is the smallest analyte concentration that can be demonstrated to be different from zero or a blank concentration at the 99% level of confidence.
E	Concentration exceeds the calibration range.
ET	Sample was extracted past end of recommended max. holding time.
HD	The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard.
ICH	Initial calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte.
ICJ	Initial calibration verification recovery is below the control limit for this analyte.
IH	Calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte.
IJ	Calibration verification recovery is below the control limit for this analyte.
J	Analyte was detected at a concentration below the LOQ and above the DL. Reported value is estimated.
JA	Analyte positively identified but quantitation is an estimate.
LOD	The Limit of Detection (LOD) is the smallest amount or concentration of a substance that must be present in a sample in order to be detected at 99% confidence level.
LOQ	The Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) is the lowest concentration of a substance that produces a quantitative result within specified limits of precision and bias.
Q	Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply resulting from the parameter concentration in the sample exceeding the spike concentration by a factor of four or greater.

- SG The sample extract was subjected to Silica Gel treatment prior to analysis.
- Undetected at Detection Limit (DL) and is reported as less than the Limit of Detection (LOD).
- X % Recovery and/or RPD out-of-range.
- Z Analyte presence was not confirmed by second column or GC/MS analysis.

Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are reported on a wet weight basis.

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.

A calculated total result (Example: Total Pesticides) is the summation of each component concentration and/or, if "J" flags are reported, estimated concentration. Component concentrations showing not detected (ND) are summed into the calculated total result as zero concentrations.

SHEETENS.
ce Environmental La
Ē
0
Ш
Ū
C#3
Ö
A0700

Other locations: Concord, San Luis Obispo, Houston, and Corpus Christi 7440 Lincoln Way, Garden Grove, CA 92841-1427 • (714) 895-5494 For courier service / sample drop off information, contact sales@calscience.com or call us. LABORATORY CLIENT: ETN'S MUMBATAI SUI ENCE INTUMATIONA

ADDRESS: 754 WINNIN ST. SWIF 304

Date Page

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

123/12

P.O. NO.

CLIENT PROJECT NAME / NUMBER:

Dobert PROJECT CONTACT

JE LIV

STATE:

They HILL

Chord

THE THERE, THE MAKE SAMPLER(S): (PRINT

REQUESTED ANALYSES

FOM MECCENTRY. CAM dEhor Occi encer com

check box or fill in blank as needed.

Prep (5035) 

En Core 

Terra Core Please

(Ons)

LOG CODE

STANDARD

5 DAYS

☐ 72 HR

☐ 48 HR

☐ 24 HR

SAME DAY

GLOBAL ID

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

COELT EDF

TURNAROUND TIME (Rush surcharges may apply & any TAT not "STANDARD"

TEL SOS- 261- 0740

OITY: Kailud

BTEX / MTBE 🗆 8260 🗀

1PH 🗆 C6-C36 🗀 C6-C44

🖰 (b)H9T🏋

Oxygenates (8260)

AOCs (8560)

KILDH(g)

Field Filtered

Preserved

NO. OF CONT.

MATRIX

TIME

DATE

SAMPLEID

LAB USE ONLY

SAMPLING

Unpreserved

C 0

Merit P

080

Wester

1355

7377 アルジュ

X

1000

200cs (8270)

Leana) segiciaea

PCBs (8082)

MIS 0728 Q 0728 □ 2HA9

XT4T/0208 - XT4T/0108 - slateM SST

X

(8'002)

01/01/14 Revision

Page 29 of 30

Time: 092

2

Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)

Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)

redex

Relinquished by: (Signature)

JAN TIMEN

Relinquished by: (Signature

Relinquished by: (Signature)

Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)

Time:

Please note that pages 1 and 2 of 2 of our T/Cs are printed on the reverse side of the Green and Yellow copies, respectively

DISTRIBUTION: White with final report, Green and Yellow to Client.





Calscience

WORK ORDER #: 14-07- [] [ [ ] [ ]

## SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM

Cooler / of /

CLIENT: Env'1., Science Int'1. DAT	E: <u>07/</u>	24/14					
TEMPERATURE: Thermometer ID: SC1 (Criteria: 0.0 °C – 6.0 °C, not frozen except sediment/tissue)							
Temperature • & °C - 0.3 °C (CF) = * C	nk 🗆 Sa	ample					
☐ Sample(s) outside temperature criteria (PM/APM contacted by:)							
☐ Sample(s) outside temperature criteria but received on ice/chilled on same day of s	ampling.						
☐ Received at ambient temperature, placed on ice for transport by Courier.							
Ambient Temperature: ☐ Air ☐ Filter		ked by: $8\%$					
CUSTODY SEALS INTACT:							
Cooler	N/A Check	ked by:					
☐ Sample ☐ ☐ No (Not Intact) ☐ Not Present		red by:					
2 Sample 1 1 No (Not intact) 2 Not income	Officer	.ca by					
SAMPLE CONDITION: Yes	No	N/A					
Chain-Of-Custody (COC) document(s) received with samples							
COC document(s) received complete							
☐ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels.							
☐ No analysis requested. ☐ Not relinquished. ☐ No date/time relinquished.							
Sampler's name indicated on COC							
Sample container label(s) consistent with COC							
Sample container(s) intact and good condition							
Proper containers and sufficient volume for analyses requested							
Analyses received within holding time							
Aqueous samples received within 15-minute holding time		_					
□ pH □ Residual Chlorine □ Dissolved Sulfides □ Dissolved Oxygen □		Z					
Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container							
☑ Unpreserved vials received for Volatiles analysis							
Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace							
Tedlar bag(s) free of condensation   CONTAINER TYPE:							
Solid: □4ozCGJ □8ozCGJ □16ozCGJ □Sleeve () □EnCores® □	erraCores®						
Aqueous: ØVOA ØVOAh □VOAna₂ □125AGB □125AGBh □125AGBp Ø1AG	šB □1AGB	na₂ □1AGBs					
□500AGB ☑500AGJ □500AGJs □250AGB □250CGB □250CGBs □1F	PB □1PBn	<b>a</b> □500PB					
□250РВ №250РВ <b>пи</b> □125РВ □125РВ <b>znna</b> □100РЈ □100РЈ <b>na₂</b> □							
Air: ☐Tedlar® ☐Canister Other: ☐ Trip Blank Lot#: 13100713 Lab  Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope							

Preservative: h: HCL n: HNO<sub>3</sub> na<sub>2</sub>:Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> na: NaOH p: H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> s: H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> u: Ultra-pure znna: ZnAc<sub>2</sub>+NaOH f: Filtered Scanned by: 2

## **RAW DATA SHEET** FOR METHOD: EPA 8015B (M)

**WORK ORDER:** 

14-07-1643

GC 46

**INSTRUMENT: EXTRACTION:** 

**EPA 3510C** 

D/T EXTRACTED: 2014-07-25 00:00

**ANALYZED BY:** 

847 D/T ANALYZED:

**REVIEWED BY:** 

2014-07-26 11:30

D/T REVIEWED:

**DATA FILE:** 

W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072571.D\14072571

UNITS:

**CLIENT SAMPLE NUMBER: ES111** 

LCS/MB BATCH:

MS/MSD BATCH:

140725B12

ug/L

SAMPLE VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 500.00 ml / ACTUAL: 500.00 ml

FINAL VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 5.00 ml / ACTUAL: 2.50 ml

ADJUSTMENT RATIO TO PF:

0.50

COMMENT:

Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), concentrations >= to the MDL (DL) but < RL (LOQ), if found, are

qualified with a "J" flag.

INI. CONC DF

CONC

<u>DL</u> 11

LOQ

QUAL

COMPOUND TPH as Diesel

143

1.00

ND

12

LOD

25

Page 2 of 3

### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072571.D

Page Number : 1

Vial Number : Vial 71 : 847

Operator : 847
Instrument : GC 46
Sample Name : 14-07 Injection Number: 1 Sequence Line : 71 : 14-07-1643-1

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 26 Jul 14 11:30 am

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 28 Jul 14 02:24 pm

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in  $W:\GC_{45}_{46}\DATA\GC_{46}\2014\140725->$ 

۰ ر	Pk	Ret Time	Area	Height	Peak	Width 1	Response %
	' 1	4.887	0.41	. 0	VV	0.023	0.079
	2	4.948	0.37	0	VV	0.029	0.070
	3	5.027	0.78	0	VV	0.024	0.149
	4	5.169	0.74	1	VV	0.020	0.142
	5	5.215	0.20	0	VV	0.025	0.038
	6	5.307	518.59	371	VV	0.021	99.521

Total area = 521.09

## 

### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072571.D

Page Number : 2


Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1
Sample Name : 14-07-1643-1 Sequence Line : 71

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ -

Acquired on : 26 Jul 14 11:30 am

Report Created on: 28 Jul 14 02:24 pm Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies



## **RAW DATA SHEET** FOR METHOD: EPA 8015B (M)

**ANALYZED BY:** 847 14-07-1643 **WORK ORDER:** 

D/T ANALYZED: 2014-07-26 11:47 GC 46 **INSTRUMENT:** 

**REVIEWED BY: EPA 3510C EXTRACTION:** D/T REVIEWED: D/T EXTRACTED: 2014-07-25 00:00

W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072572.D\14072572 **DATA FILE:** 

**CLIENT SAMPLE NUMBER: ES112** <u>#</u> 2

DEFAULT: 500.00 ml / ACTUAL: 500.00 ml SAMPLE VOLUME / WEIGHT: 140725B12 LCS/MB BATCH:

DEFAULT: 5.00 ml / ACTUAL: 2.50 ml FINAL VOLUME / WEIGHT: MS/MSD BATCH:

ADJUSTMENT RATIO TO PF: 0.50 ug/L <u>UNITS:</u>

Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), concentrations >= to the MDL (DL) but < RL (LOQ), if found, are **COMMENT:** 

qualified with a "J" flag.

QUAL LOQ LOD CONC DL INI. CONC DF

COMPOUND 25 bJ 12 11 3360 1.00 16.8 TPH as Diesel

## 

#### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072572.D

Page Number : 1

Vial Number : Vial 72 : 847

Operator : 847 Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number: 1 Sequence Line : 72 Sample Name : 14-07-1643-2

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 26 Jul 14 11:47 am

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 28 Jul 14 02:21 pm

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in  $W:\GC_45_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725->$ 

y.	. т.	III W:/GC_4-		011(110,110	1	*** 1.1.	D
	Pk	Ret Time	Area	Height	Peak	Width	Response 8
ı							-
	1	1.970	10.07	1	VV	0.097	1.748
	2	2.270	1.20	0	VB	0.059	0.208
	3	2.545	0.25	0	BV	0.043	0.043
	4	2.626	7.81	2	VB	0.047	1.355
	5	3.011	0.60	0	VV	0.039	0.104
	6	3.122	0.48	0	VV	0.025	0.084
	7	3.198	0.60	1	VV	0.019	0.104
	8	3.244	26.64	10	VV	0.037	4.623
	9	3.445	1.30	0	VV	0.052	0.225
	10	3.538	0.67	0	VV	0.047	0.116
	11	3.651	1.11	0	VV	0.046	0.193
	12	3.726	0.22	0	VV	0.029	0.039
	13	3.806	0.53	0	VV	0.030	0.092
	14	3.839	2.99	1	VV	0.045	0.519
	15	3.957	0.41	0	VB	0.032	0.071
	16	4.076	1.41	0	BV	0.046	0.245
	17	4.944	0.66	0	VV	0.029	0.115
	18	5.022	0.82	0	VB	0.026	0.142
		5.163	0.73		BV	0.020	0.127
	19		517.69	373		0.022	89.845
	20	5.301	317.09	373		0.022	

Total area = 576.20

### Area Percent Report

Page Number : 2

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 72


Instrument : GC 46 Sample Name : 14-07-1643-2 Injection Number: 1 Sequence Line: 72

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\

Acquired on : 26 Jul 14 11:47 am

Report Created on: 28 Jul 14 02:21 pm Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright @ Agilent Technologies



### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072559.D

Page Number : 1

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 59

Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1
Sample Name : MB 14072512/13 Sequence Line : 59

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 26 Jul 14 08:00 am

Report Created on: 29 Jul 14 02:33 pm Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725->

,	рk	Ret Time	Area			Width		
١								
		5.307		353	VV	0.021	100.00	0

Total area = 484.61

### Area Percent Report

: W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072559.D

Page Number

Data File Name

: Vial 59 Vial Number : 847 Operator


Injection Number: 1 : GC 46 Instrument : 59 Sequence Line : MB 14072512/13 Sample Name

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\

: 26 Jul 14 08:00 am Acquired on

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 29 Jul 14 02:33 pm

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies



Blank

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

### Area Percent Report

-

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072560.D

Page Number : 1

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 60

Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1
Sample Name : LCS 14072512 Sequence Line : 60

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 26 Jul 14 08:17 am

Report Created on: 29 Jul 14 02:33 pm Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in  $W:\GC_45_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725->$ 

١g.	1 Pk	n W:\GC_45	_46\DATA\GC46\2 Area	Height	Peak		Response %
					•	0.016	0.042
	1	0.653	3.46		BV	0.010	0.071
	2	0.701	5.85		VV	0.017	0.060
	3	0.734	4.91		VV	0.024	0.008
	4	0.846	0.66		VV	0.037	0.049
	5	0.911	4.05		VV	0.020	0.013
	6	0.960	1.05		VV	0.032	0.006
	7	1.013	0.49		VV	0.020	0.036
	8	1.094	2.92		VV	0.021	0.094
	9	1.141	7.72		VV	0.031	0.040
	10	1.189	3.27		VV	0.023	0.031
	11	1.220	2.57		VV	0.018	0.065
	12	1.246	5.35		VV	0.021	0.043
	13	1.273	3.55		VV	0.021	0.264
	14	1.358	21.62		VV	0.042	0.109
	15	1.433	8.91		VV	0.023	0.088
	16	1.471	7.24		VV	0.018	0.165
	17	1.493	13.47		VV	0.026	0.282
	18	1.552	23.10			0.030	0.301
	19	1.616	24.65		VV VV	0.030	0.082
	20	1.643	6.70			0.013	0.474
	21	1.700	38.83		VV	0.031	0.244
	22	1.725	20.01		VV	0.023	0.584
	23	1.800	47.83		VV	0.033	0.444
	24	1.830	36.35		VV	0.033	0.476
	25	1.875	38.97		VV	0.027	0.634
	26	1.918	51.89		VV	0.022	0.751
	27	1.980	61.45		VV	0.033	0.746
	28	2.024	61.09		VV	0.031	2.187
	29	2.106	179.09		VV	0.047	2.510
	30	2.206	205.49		VV	0.031	1.686
	31	2.269	138.00		VV		0.998
	32	2.315	81.71		VV	0.027	3.260
	33	2.372	266.91		VV	0.053 0.039	4.278
	34	2.469	350.23	117		0.039	0.838
	35	2.543	68.57		VV		1.852
	36	2.586	151.60		VV	0.037	2.724
	37	2.641	222.99		VV	0.044	3.905
	38	2.713	319.67		VV	0.036	1.318
	39	2.800	107.87		VV	0.030	1.137
	40	2.831	93.08		VV	0.023	2.396
	41	2.881	196.18		VV	0.040	4.792
	42	3.067	392.29		VV	0.063 0.017	0.787
	43	3.116	64.40		VV		4.883
	44		399.78		VV	0.042	
	45	3.281	353.57	72	VV	0.062	4.319

### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072560.D

Page Number : 2

Vial Number : Vial 60 : 847

Operator Instrument : GC 46 Sample Name : LCS 14072512

Injection Number: 1 Sequence Line : 60

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 26 Jul 14 08:17 am

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 29 Jul 14 02:33 pm

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Pk	Ret Time	Area	Height	Peak	Width	Response %
46	3.359	299.63	128	•	0.032	3.660
47	3.401	81.99	60		0.019	1.001
48	3.448	238.26	97	VV	0.034	2.910
49	3.484	211.01	76	VV	0.037	2.577
50	3.635	222.87	83	VV	0.036	2.722
51	3.679	221.42	79	VV	0.038	2.705
52	3.739	418.73	154	VV	0.039	5.115
53	3.811	194.94	69	VV	0.038	2.381
54	3.844	79.75	64	VV	0.018	0.974
55	3.862	99.09	64	VV	0.022	1.210
56	3.911	306.68	98	VV	0.040	3.746
57	3.979	141.88	54	VV	0.039	1.733
58	4.019	148.73	50	VV	0.039	1.817
59	4.080	197.53	71	VV	0.038	2.413
60	4.144	103.78	39	VV	0.036	1.268
61	4.197	95.41	37	VV	0.034	1.165
62	4.244	192.08	45	VV	0.054	2.346
63	4.340	75.99	23	VV	0.045	0.928
64	4.405	67.44	27	VV	0.034	0.824
65	4.454	77.20	16	VV	0.062	0.943
66	4.563	75.31	13	VV	0.070	0.920
67	4.716	31.77	6	VV	0.064	0.388
68	4.867	11.73	2	VV	0.065	0.143
69	5.017	3.98	1	VV	0.043	0.049
70	5.161	0.77	1	VV	0.024	0.009
71	5.300	489.57	359	VV	0.021	5.980

Total area = 8186.88

### Area Percent Report

: W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140725\14072560.D

: 3 Page Number

Data File Name

: Vial 60 Vial Number : 847 Operator


Injection Number: 1 : GC 46 Instrument Sequence Line : LCS 14072512 Sample Name

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\

: 26 Jul 14 08:17 am Acquired on

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 29 Jul 14 02:33 pm

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies



Diesel Standard



## Calscience



# **WORK ORDER NUMBER: 14-07-1772**

The difference is service



AIR | SOIL | WATER | MARINE CHEMISTRY

**Analytical Report For** 

**Client:** Environmental Science International, Inc.

Client Project Name: Red Hill LTM 112066

**Attention:** Robert Chong

354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Richard Vellas

Approved for release on 08/01/2014 by:

Richard Villafania Project Manager



ResultLink >

Email your PM >

Eurofins Calscience, Inc. (Calscience) certifies that the test results provided in this report meet all NELAC requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAC requirements are noted in the case narrative. The original report of subcontracted analyses, if any, is attached to this report. The results in this report are limited to the sample(s) tested and any reproduction thereof must be made in its entirety. The client or recipient of this report is specifically prohibited from making material changes to said report and, to the extent that such changes are made, Calscience is not responsible, legally or otherwise. The client or recipient agrees to indemnify Calscience for any defense to any litigation which may arise.



## **Contents**

Client Project Name: Red Hill LTM 112066 Work Order Number: 14-07-1772

1	Work Order Narrative	3
2	Client Sample Data.  2.1 EPA 8015B (M) TPH Diesel (Aqueous).  2.2 EPA 6020 ICP/MS Metals (Aqueous).  2.3 EPA 8270C SIM PAHs (Aqueous).  2.4 GC/MS GRO/EPA 8260B Volatile Organics (Aqueous).	4 4 5 6 9
3	Quality Control Sample Data.  3.1 MS/MSD.  3.2 PDS/PDSD.  3.3 LCS/LCSD.	17 17 22 23
4	Sample Analysis Summary	28
5	Glossary of Terms and Qualifiers	29
6	Chain-of-Custody/Sample Receipt Form	30



### **Work Order Narrative**

Work Order: 14-07-1772 Page 1 of 1

### **Condition Upon Receipt:**

Samples were received under Chain-of-Custody (COC) on 07/25/14. They were assigned to Work Order 14-07-1772.

Unless otherwise noted on the Sample Receiving forms all samples were received in good condition and within the recommended EPA temperature criteria for the methods noted on the COC. The COC and Sample Receiving Documents are integral elements of the analytical report and are presented at the back of the report.

### **Holding Times:**

All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times (HT) and/or in accordance with the Calscience Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the analytical report and/or comprehensive case narrative, if required.

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.

### **Quality Control:**

All quality control parameters (QC) were within established control limits except where noted in the QC summary forms or described further within this report.

### **Additional Comments:**

Air - Sorbent-extracted air methods (EPA TO-4A, EPA TO-10, EPA TO-13A, EPA TO-17): Analytical results are converted from mass/sample basis to mass/volume basis using client-supplied air volumes.

New York NELAP air certification does not certify for all reported methods and analytes, reference the accredited items here: http://www.calscience.com/PDF/New\_York.pdf

Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are always reported on a wet weight basis.

Comment - For EPA Method 8260B, the Acetone MS/MSD and LCS recoveries were above the control limits, any reported concentrations for this analyte may be bias high.

### **Subcontractor Information:**

Unless otherwise noted below (or on the subcontract form), no samples were subcontracted.



Environmental Science International, Inc. Date Received: 07/25/14 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Work Order: 14-07-1772 Preparation: **EPA 3510C** Kailua, HI 96734-2500 Method: EPA 8015B (M) Units: ug/L

Page 1 of 1 Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Client Sample N	lumber	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES109		14-07-1772-2-H	07/24/14 09:00	Aqueous	GC 46	07/28/14	07/30/14 01:15	140728B13
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to	the MDL (DL), cond	centrations >= to the	he MDL (DL	) but < RL (LO	Q), if found, a	re qualified with a	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LC	<u>Q</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
TPH as Diesel		17	12	13	26		1.00	HD,J
<u>Surrogate</u>		Rec. (%)	Control Limi	its Qualit	<u>iers</u>			
n-Octacosane		98	51-141					

ES110	14-0	07-1772-3-H	07/24/14 / 10:00	Aqueous	GC 46	07/28/14	07/30/14 01:32	140728B13
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to the	MDL (DL), conc	entrations >= to th	ne MDL (DL	) but < RL (LOC	Q), if found, are	qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u> </u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
TPH as Diesel		15	11	12	25	1	.00	HD,J
<u>Surrogate</u>		Rec. (%)	Control Limit	ts Qualif	<u>iers</u>			
n-Octacosane		94	51-141					

Method Blank	09	9-15-516-162	N/A A	Aqueous	GC 46	07/28/14	07/29/14 23:50	140728B13
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to the	MDL (DL), conce	ntrations >= to the	e MDL (DL	) but < RL (	LOQ), if found, a	re qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>		<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
TPH as Diesel		<12	11	12		25	1.00	U
Surrogate		Rec. (%)	Control Limits	s Qualifi	ers			
n-Octacosane		88	51-141					

07/25/14

14-07-1772 EPA 3005A Filt.



## **Analytical Report**

Environmental Science International, Inc. Date Received: 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Work Order:

Kailua, HI 96734-2500 Preparation:

Method: EPA 6020 Units: ug/L

Page 1 of 1 Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Client Sample Number		Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES109		14-07-1772-2-P	07/24/14 09:00	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/29/14	07/29/14 22:42	140729L02D
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated	to the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= t	o the MDL (DI	L) but < RL (LC	Q), if found, a	re qualified with a	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LC</u>	<u>Q</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead		< 0.200	0.0898	0.200	) 1.0	00	1.00	U

ES110	14-07-1772-3-G	07/24/14 10:00	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03		7/29/14 140729L02D 22:46
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), co	ncentrations >= t	to the MDL (DL	) but < RL (LOQ	), if found, are qua	alified with a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	LOD	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead	<0.200	0.0898	0.200	1.00	1.00	U

Method Blank	099-14-497-8	9 N/A	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/29/14	07/29/14 22:20	140729L02D
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL),	concentrations	s >= to the MDL (DI	L) but < RL (LOC	(), if found, a	are qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>)</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead	<0.200	0.08	398 0.200	1.00	1	1.00	U





Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Preparation:

EPA 3510C

Method:

EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES109	14-07-1772-2-I	07/24/14 09:00	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 15:28	140728L01
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated t	o the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= to the	he MDL (DL)	) but < RL (LOC	), if found, are	e qualified with a	"J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	1 2	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Naphthalene	0.031	0.023	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	J
2-Methylnaphthalene	<0.051	0.027	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
1-Methylnaphthalene	<0.051	0.029	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Acenaphthylene	<0.051	0.018	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Acenaphthene	<0.051	0.021	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Fluorene	<0.051	0.025	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Phenanthrene	<0.051	0.031	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Anthracene	< 0.051	0.035	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Fluoranthene	< 0.051	0.028	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Pyrene	< 0.051	0.025	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Benzo (a) Anthracene	< 0.051	0.024	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Chrysene	< 0.051	0.019	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	< 0.051	0.024	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	< 0.051	0.025	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Benzo (a) Pyrene	< 0.051	0.037	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	< 0.051	0.022	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	< 0.051	0.027	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	<0.051	0.022	0.051	0.20	1	1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limi	ts Qualifi	<u>ers</u>			
Nitrobenzene-d5	79	28-139					
2-Fluorobiphenyl	77	33-144					
p-Terphenyl-d14	62	23-160					



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Preparation:

EPA 3510C

Method:

EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Units:

ug/L Page 2 of 3

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Client Sample I	Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES110		14-07-1772-3-J	07/24/14 10:00	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 15:53	140728L01
Comment(s):	- Results were evaluated	to the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= t	to the MDL (DI	L) but < RL (LO	Q), if found, a	re qualified with a	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>		Result	DL	LOD	LO	<u>2</u>	<u>DF</u>	Qualifiers

· ,	` ''		` '	· //	, ·	U
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Naphthalene	0.027	0.023	0.050	0.20	1.00	J
2-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.050	0.027	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
1-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.050	0.028	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Acenaphthylene	< 0.050	0.018	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Acenaphthene	<0.050	0.021	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Fluorene	< 0.050	0.024	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Phenanthrene	<0.050	0.031	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Anthracene	< 0.050	0.034	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.027	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Pyrene	<0.050	0.025	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Benzo (a) Anthracene	< 0.050	0.024	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Chrysene	< 0.050	0.019	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.023	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	<0.050	0.025	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Benzo (a) Pyrene	< 0.050	0.036	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	<0.050	0.022	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	< 0.050	0.027	0.050	0.20	1.00	U
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	< 0.050	0.022	0.050	0.20	1.00	U

Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers
Nitrobenzene-d5	74	28-139	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	75	33-144	
p-Terphenyl-d14	61	23-160	





Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Preparation:

EPA 3510C

Method:

EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
Method Blank	099-15-148-52	N/A	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14 13:03	140728L01
Comment(s): - Results were evaluate	ed to the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= to the	ne MDL (DL	) but < RL (LOC	), if found, are	qualified with	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Naphthalene	< 0.050	0.023	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
2-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.050	0.026	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
1-Methylnaphthalene	< 0.050	0.028	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Acenaphthylene	< 0.050	0.018	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Acenaphthene	< 0.050	0.021	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Fluorene	< 0.050	0.024	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Phenanthrene	< 0.050	0.031	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Anthracene	<0.050	0.034	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.027	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Pyrene	<0.050	0.025	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Benzo (a) Anthracene	<0.050	0.024	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Chrysene	< 0.050	0.019	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.023	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	< 0.050	0.025	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Benzo (a) Pyrene	< 0.050	0.036	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	< 0.050	0.022	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	< 0.050	0.027	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	<0.050	0.022	0.050	0.20	1	.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limit	ts Qualif	<u>iers</u>			
Nitrobenzene-d5	90	28-139					
2-Fluorobiphenyl	86	33-144					
p-Terphenyl-d14	87	23-160					



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Work Order: Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 1 of 8

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Date/Time Prepared Analyzed	
ES TRIP	14-07-1772-1-A	07/24/14 08:00	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/29/14 07/29/14 21:26	140729L022
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated	to the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= 1	to the MDL (DI	L) but < RL (LOC	Q), if found, are qualified wi	th a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>DF</u>	<b>Qualifiers</b>
Acetone	<10	6.0	10	20	1.00	U,IH,ICH
Benzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Bromodichloromethane	<0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10	1.00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20	1.00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10	1.00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Chlorobenzene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10	1.00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10	1.00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10	1.00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	<0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methylene Chloride	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10	1.00	U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Toluene	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0	1.00	U



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation: Method:

07/25/14 14-07-1772 EPA 5030C GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

Page 2 of 8

ug/L

Toluene-d8

Toluene-d8-TPPH

1,4-Bromofluorobenzene

<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	LOD	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	Qualifiers
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.38	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Trichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30	1.0	10	1.00	U
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26	30	50	1.00	U
<u>Surrogate</u>	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
Dibromofluoromethane	102	80-126				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	80-134				

80-120

88-112

80-120

100

100

95





Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Work Order: Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 3 of 8

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Date/Time Prepared Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES109	14-07-1772-2-A	07/24/14 09:00	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/29/14 07/29/14 19:10	140729L022
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated t	o the MDL (DL), con	centrations >= t	o the MDL (DI	_) but < RL (LOC	Q), if found, are qualified wit	n a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Acetone	6.4	6.0	10	20	1.00	J,IH,ICH
Benzene	< 0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10	1.00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20	1.00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10	1.00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Chlorobenzene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10	1.00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10	1.00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10	1.00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	<0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methylene Chloride	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10	1.00	U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Toluene	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0	1.00	U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0	1.00	U



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation: Method:

07/25/14 14-07-1772 EPA 5030C GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L Page 4 of 8

Toluene-d8

Toluene-d8-TPPH

1,4-Bromofluorobenzene

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.38	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Trichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30	1.0	10	1.00	U
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26	30	50	1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
Dibromofluoromethane	84	80-126				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	80-134				

80-120

88-112

80-120

99

98

95





Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 5 of 8

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
ES110	14-07-1772-3-A	07/24/14 10:00	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/29/14	07/29/14 22:20	140729L022
Comment(s): - Results were evalua	ated to the MDL (DL), con	centrations >=	to the MDL (DL	_) but < RL (LOC	Q), if found, ar	re qualified with	a "J" flag.
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LO0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Acetone	9.8	6.0	10	20		1.00	J,IH,ICH
Benzene	< 0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Bromodichloromethane	<0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10		1.00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20		1.00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10		1.00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Chlorobenzene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10		1.00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10		1.00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10		1.00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	<0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Methylene Chloride	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0		1.00	U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10		1.00	U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Toluene	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0		1.00	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0		1.00	U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0		1.00	U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0		1.00	U



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation: Method:

07/25/14 14-07-1772 EPA 5030C GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 6 of 8

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<b>Qualifiers</b>
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.38	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Trichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30	1.0	10	1.00	U
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26	30	50	1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers			
Dibromofluoromethane	89	80-126				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	80-134				
Talasas do	404	00.400				

Toluene-d8 101 80-120 Toluene-d8-TPPH 88-112 101 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene 96 80-120



Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Work Order: Preparation:

EPA 5030C

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

ug/L

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Page 7 of 8

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
Method Blank	099-13-057-61	N/A	Aqueous	GC/MS OO	07/29/14	07/29/14 17:50	140729L022
Comment(s): - Results were evaluated	to the MDL (DL), cor	ncentrations >= t	o the MDL (DL	) but < RL (LOC	), if found, are	qualified with	a "J" flag.
Parameter	Result	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	LOC	<u> </u>	<u>)F</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Acetone	<10	6.0	10	20	1	.00	U
Benzene	< 0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
Bromodichloromethane	< 0.50	0.21	0.50	5.0	1	.00	U
Bromoform	<1.0	0.50	1.0	10	1	.00	U
Bromomethane	<5.0	3.9	5.0	20	1	.00	U
2-Butanone	<5.0	2.2	5.0	10	1	.00	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
Chlorobenzene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	5.0	1	.00	U
Chloroethane	<5.0	2.3	5.0	10	1	.00	U
Chloroform	<0.50	0.46	0.50	5.0	1	.00	U
Chloromethane	<2.0	1.8	2.0	10	1	.00	U
Dibromochloromethane	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2.0	1.2	2.0	10	1	.00	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	< 0.50	0.36	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	0.46	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	< 0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.28	0.50	5.0	1	.00	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 0.50	0.43	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.48	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 0.50	0.42	0.50	5.0	1	.00	U
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50	0.25	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.14	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
Methylene Chloride	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1	.00	U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<5.0	4.4	5.0	10	1	.00	U
Styrene	<0.50	0.17	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.40	0.50	1.0		.00	U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	0.41	0.50	1.0	1	.00	U
Tetrachloroethene	<0.50	0.39	0.50	5.0	1	.00	U
Toluene	<0.50	0.24	0.50	1.0		.00	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<1.0	0.50	1.0	5.0		.00	U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.30	0.50	5.0		.00	U
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<0.50	0.32	0.50	1.0		.00	U



## **Analytical Report**

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Date Received: Work Order: Preparation: Method:

07/25/14 14-07-1772 EPA 5030C GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Units:

Page 8 of 8

ug/L

Droinot	Dad	⊔:п	LTL	112066
Profect.	Rea			112066

Toluene-d8

Toluene-d8-TPPH

1,4-Bromofluorobenzene

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>DL</u>	<u>LOD</u>	<u>LOQ</u>	<u>DF</u>	<b>Qualifiers</b>
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.50	0.38	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Trichloroethene	<0.50	0.37	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<1.0	0.64	1.0	5.0	1.00	U
Vinyl Chloride	<0.50	0.30	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
p/m-Xylene	<1.0	0.30	1.0	10	1.00	U
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.23	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<0.50	0.31	0.50	1.0	1.00	U
Gasoline Range Organics	<30	26	30	50	1.00	U
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers			
<del></del> _			Qualifiers			
Dibromofluoromethane	98	80-126				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	94	80-134				

80-120

88-112

80-120

99

98

94





#### **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500


Preparation:

Method:

EPA 8015B (M)

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 1 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре		Matrix	In	strument	Date Prepared	Date Ana	lyzed	MS/MSD Bat	tch Number
ES109	Sample		Aqueou	s G	C 46	07/28/14	07/30/14	01:15	140728S13	
ES109	Matrix Spike		Aqueou	s G	C 46	07/28/14	07/30/14	00:41	140728S13	
ES109	Matrix Spike	Duplicate	Aqueou	s G	C 46	07/28/14	07/30/14	00:58	140728S13	
Parameter	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> <u>Added</u>	MS Conc.	MS %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
TPH as Diesel	ND	4000	4029	101	4146	104	55-133	3	0-30	





#### **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

Date Received:

07/25/14

Preparation:

EPA 3005A Filt.

EPA 6020

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 2 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре		Matrix	In	strument	Date Prepared	Date Ana	lyzed	MS/MSD Bat	tch Number
ES109	Sample		Aqueou	s IC	P/MS 03	07/29/14	07/29/14	22:42	140729S02	
ES109	Matrix Spike		Aqueou	s IC	P/MS 03	07/29/14	07/29/14	22:26	140729S02	
ES109	Matrix Spike	Duplicate	Aqueou	s IC	P/MS 03	07/29/14	07/29/14	22:29	140729S02	
Parameter	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> Added	MS Conc.	<u>MS</u> %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Lead	ND	100.0	100.7	101	106.5	107	80-120	6	0-20	



07/25/14

14-07-1772

**EPA 3510C** 



#### **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method: EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 3 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре		Matrix		Instrument	Date Prepar	ed Date Ana	lyzed	MS/MSD Ba	ch Number
ES109	Sample		Aqueou	ıs	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14	15:28	140728S01A	
ES109	Matrix Spike		Aqueou	IS	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14	14:40	140728S01A	
ES109	Matrix Spike	Duplicate	Aqueou	ıs	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14	07/29/14	15:04	140728S01A	
Parameter	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> <u>Added</u>	MS Conc.	<u>MS</u> %Re	MSD c. Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Naphthalene	ND	2.000	1.544	77	1.541	77	21-133	0	0-25	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	2.000	1.486	74	1.484	74	21-140	0	0-25	
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	2.000	1.446	72	1.451	73	20-140	0	0-25	
Acenaphthylene	ND	2.000	1.480	74	1.442	72	33-145	3	0-25	
Acenaphthene	ND	2.000	1.560	78	1.536	77	49-121	2	0-25	
Fluorene	ND	2.000	1.596	80	1.532	77	59-121	4	0-25	
Phenanthrene	ND	2.000	1.501	75	1.455	73	54-120	3	0-25	
Anthracene	ND	2.000	1.524	76	1.333	67	27-133	13	0-25	
Fluoranthene	ND	2.000	1.500	75	1.423	71	26-137	5	0-25	
Pyrene	ND	2.000	1.459	73	1.385	69	18-168	5	0-25	
Benzo (a) Anthracene	ND	2.000	1.437	72	1.313	66	33-143	9	0-25	
Chrysene	ND	2.000	1.529	76	1.414	71	17-168	8	0-25	
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	ND	2.000	1.390	70	1.309	65	24-159	6	0-25	
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene	ND	2.000	1.336	67	1.229	61	24-159	8	0-25	
Benzo (a) Pyrene	ND	2.000	1.337	67	1.184	59	17-163	12	0-25	
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene	ND	2.000	1.506	75	1.449	72	10-171	4	0-25	
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	ND	2.000	1.500	75	1.462	73	10-219	3	0-25	
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene	ND	2.000	1.556	78	1.517	76	10-227	3	0-25	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



#### **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 4 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре		Matrix	In	strument	Date Prepare	d Date Ana	llyzed	MS/MSD Ba	tch Number
ES109	Sample		Aqueous	G	C/MS OO	07/29/14	07/29/14	19:10	1407298008	1
ES109	Matrix Spike		Aqueous	G	C/MS OO	07/29/14	07/29/14	19:37	1407298008	1
ES109	Matrix Spike	Duplicate	Aqueous	G	C/MS OO	07/29/14	07/29/14	20:04	1407298008	
Parameter	Sample Conc.	Spike Added	MS Conc.	MS %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Acetone	ND	50.00	101.0	202	121.2	242	40-140	18	0-20	3
Benzene	ND	50.00	51.02	102	51.39	103	80-120	1	0-20	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	50.00	52.03	104	52.88	106	75-120	2	0-20	
Bromoform	ND	50.00	50.47	101	49.22	98	70-130	3	0-20	
Bromomethane	ND	50.00	46.62	93	46.60	93	30-145	0	0-20	
2-Butanone	ND	50.00	63.95	128	71.26	143	30-150	11	0-20	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	50.00	49.41	99	50.40	101	65-140	2	0-20	
Chlorobenzene	ND	50.00	51.45	103	51.05	102	80-120	1	0-20	
Chloroethane	ND	50.00	42.30	85	43.29	87	60-135	2	0-20	
Chloroform	ND	50.00	49.58	99	51.41	103	65-135	4	0-20	
Chloromethane	ND	50.00	40.81	82	41.12	82	40-125	1	0-20	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	50.00	53.16	106	52.64	105	60-135	1	0-20	
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ND	50.00	40.92	82	40.81	82	50-130	0	0-20	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	50.00	49.63	99	50.38	101	80-120	1	0-20	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	50.40	101	50.33	101	70-120	0	0-20	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	50.49	101	50.47	101	75-125	0	0-20	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	48.20	96	47.65	95	75-125	1	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	50.00	48.07	96	49.29	99	70-135	3	0-20	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	50.00	51.10	102	51.62	103	70-130	1	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	50.00	52.31	105	54.71	109	70-130	4	0-20	
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	50.00	53.56	107	56.59	113	70-125	5	0-20	
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	50.00	53.58	107	54.38	109	60-140	1	0-20	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	50.00	51.07	102	52.26	105	75-125	2	0-20	
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	50.00	54.04	108	54.42	109	70-130	1	0-20	
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	50.00	52.76	106	51.99	104	55-140	1	0-20	
Ethylbenzene	ND	50.00	49.64	99	49.90	100	75-125	1	0-20	
Methylene Chloride	ND	50.00	54.47	109	55.07	110	55-140	1	0-20	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	ND	50.00	51.24	102	53.16	106	60-135	4	0-20	
Styrene	ND	50.00	51.61	103	51.40	103	65-135	0	0-20	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	50.00	48.47	97	48.27	97	80-130	0	0-20	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	50.00	1.110	2	1.025	2	65-130	8	0-20	3
Tetrachloroethene	ND	50.00	61.10	122	59.87	120	45-150	2	0-20	
Toluene	ND	50.00	51.07	102	51.34	103	75-120	1	0-20	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	50.00	49.69	99	48.25	96	65-135	3	0-20	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	50.00	48.75	97	49.79	100	65-130	2	0-20	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



#### **Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

Date Received:

07/25/14


Work Order:

14-07-1772

EPA 5030C

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 5 of 5

<u>Parameter</u>	Sample Conc.	<u>Spike</u> Added	MS Conc.	MS %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	ND	50.00	45.93	92	45.20	90	50-140	2	0-20	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	50.00	48.27	97	47.83	96	75-125	1	0-20	
Trichloroethene	ND	50.00	88.44	177	88.65	177	70-125	0	0-20	3
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	50.00	48.13	96	47.94	96	75-125	0	0-20	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	50.00	42.89	86	43.32	87	50-145	1	0-20	
p/m-Xylene	ND	100.0	99.92	100	99.91	100	75-130	0	0-20	
o-Xylene	ND	50.00	52.69	105	52.29	105	80-120	1	0-20	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND	50.00	49.61	99	51.18	102	65-125	3	0-20	





#### **Quality Control - PDS**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

Date Received:

07/25/14

Work Order:

14-07-1772

EPA 3005A Filt.

Method:

EPA 6020

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 1 of 1

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	N	/latrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	PDS/PDSD Batch Number
ES109	Sample	Α	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/29/14 00:00	07/29/14 22:42	140729S02
ES109	PDS	A	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/29/14 00:00	07/29/14 22:33	140729S02
<u>Parameter</u>		Sample Conc.	Spike Added	d PDS Conc	. PDS %Re	ec. %Rec. C	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Lead		ND	100.0	102.5	103	75-125	





#### **Quality Control - LCS/LCSD**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

EPA 8015B (M)

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 1 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	Mat	rix	Instrument	Date Pre	pared Date	e Analyzed	LCS/LCSD B	atch Number
099-15-516-162	LCS	Aqı	ieous	GC 46	07/28/14	07/3	0/14 00:07	140728B13	
099-15-516-162	LCSD	Aqı	ieous	GC 46	07/28/14	07/3	0/14 00:24	140728B13	
Parameter	Spike Added	LCS Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	<u>Qualifiers</u>
TPH as Diesel	2000	1887	94	1832	92	60-132	3	0-11	



#### **Quality Control - LCS**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Preparation:

Method:

EPA 3005A Filt.

EPA 6020

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 2 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	LCS Batch Number
099-14-497-89	LCS	Aqueous	ICP/MS 03	07/29/14	07/29/14 22:23	140729L02D
<u>Parameter</u>		Spike Added	Conc. Recovere	ed LCS %R	ec. %Rec	. CL Qualifiers
Lead		100.0	96.78	97	80-12	0

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



#### **Quality Control - LCS**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Date Received: Work Order:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Preparation:

EPA 3510C

Method:

EPA 8270C SIM PAHs

Page 3 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared Dat	te Analyzed LCS Ba	tch Number
099-15-148-52	LCS	Aqueous	GC/MS AAA	07/28/14 07/	29/14 13:28 140728	L01
Parameter		Spike Added	Conc. Recove	ered LCS %Rec.	%Rec. CL	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Naphthalene		2.000	1.361	68	21-133	
2-Methylnaphthalene		2.000	1.230	61	21-140	
1-Methylnaphthalene		2.000	1.226	61	20-140	
Acenaphthylene		2.000	1.167	58	33-145	
Acenaphthene		2.000	1.271	64	55-121	
Fluorene		2.000	1.315	66	59-121	
Phenanthrene		2.000	1.379	69	54-120	
Anthracene		2.000	1.393	70	27-133	
Fluoranthene		2.000	1.385	69	26-137	
Pyrene		2.000	1.333	67	45-129	
Benzo (a) Anthracene		2.000	1.343	67	33-143	
Chrysene		2.000	1.447	72	17-168	
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene		2.000	1.265	63	24-159	
Benzo (b) Fluoranthene		2.000	1.294	65	24-159	
Benzo (a) Pyrene		2.000	1.273	64	17-163	
Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene		2.000	1.408	70	25-175	
Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene		2.000	1.350	67	25-175	
Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene		2.000	1.500	75	25-157	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



#### **Quality Control - LCS/LCSD**

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304

Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

Date Received: Work Order:

07/25/14 14-07-1772

Preparation:

Method:

EPA 5030C GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Page 4 of 5

Quality Control Sample ID	Туре	Mat	rix	Instrument	Date Pre	pared Date	Analyzed	LCS/LCSD Ba	atch Number
099-13-057-61	LCS	Aqu	ieous	GC/MS OO	07/29/14	07/29	9/14 16:10	140729L022	
099-13-057-61	LCSD	Aqu	ieous	GC/MS OO	07/29/14	07/29	9/14 16:37	140729L022	
<u>Parameter</u>	Spike Added	LCS Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Acetone	50.00	96.52	193	N/A	N/A	40-140	N/A	0-20	Χ
Benzene	50.00	55.59	111	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
Bromodichloromethane	50.00	56.94	114	N/A	N/A	75-120	N/A	0-20	
Bromoform	50.00	55.17	110	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
Bromomethane	50.00	46.51	93	N/A	N/A	30-145	N/A	0-20	
2-Butanone	50.00	73.72	147	N/A	N/A	30-150	N/A	0-20	
Carbon Tetrachloride	50.00	57.05	114	N/A	N/A	65-140	N/A	0-20	
Chlorobenzene	50.00	55.74	111	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
Chloroethane	50.00	44.59	89	N/A	N/A	60-135	N/A	0-20	
Chloroform	50.00	55.76	112	N/A	N/A	65-135	N/A	0-20	
Chloromethane	50.00	44.09	88	N/A	N/A	40-125	N/A	0-20	
Dibromochloromethane	50.00	56.86	114	N/A	N/A	60-135	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	50.00	50.20	100	N/A	N/A	50-130	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dibromoethane	50.00	53.16	106	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	50.00	55.19	110	N/A	N/A	70-120	N/A	0-20	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	50.00	56.29	113	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	50.00	53.66	107	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethane	50.00	54.70	109	N/A	N/A	70-135	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dichloroethane	50.00	54.58	109	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
1,1-Dichloroethene	50.00	56.87	114	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	50.00	60.26	121	N/A	N/A	70-125	N/A	0-20	
t-1,2-Dichloroethene	50.00	60.48	121	N/A	N/A	60-140	N/A	0-20	
1,2-Dichloropropane	50.00	54.59	109	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
c-1,3-Dichloropropene	50.00	60.96	122	N/A	N/A	70-130	N/A	0-20	
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	50.00	57.76	116	N/A	N/A	55-140	N/A	0-20	
Ethylbenzene	50.00	54.18	108	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
Methylene Chloride	50.00	58.28	117	N/A	N/A	55-140	N/A	0-20	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	50.00	54.88	110	N/A	N/A	60-135	N/A	0-20	
Styrene	50.00	55.97	112	N/A	N/A	65-135	N/A	0-20	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	50.00	53.52	107	N/A	N/A	80-130	N/A	0-20	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	50.00	52.78	106	N/A	N/A	65-130	N/A	0-20	
Tetrachloroethene	50.00	52.76	106	N/A	N/A	45-150	N/A	0-20	
Toluene	50.00	55.00	110	N/A	N/A	75-120	N/A	0-20	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	50.00	54.75	109	N/A	N/A	65-135	N/A	0-20	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50.00	57.01	114	N/A	N/A	65-130	N/A	0-20	
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	50.00	50.94	102	N/A	N/A	50-140	N/A	0-20	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL:

CL: Control Limits





#### **Quality Control - LCS/LCSD**

Environmental Science International, Inc.

Date Received:

Work Order:

14-07-1772

Kailua, HI 96734-2500


Preparation:

Method:

GC/MS / EPA 8260B

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 Page 5 of 5

<u>Parameter</u>	Spike Added	LCS Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	50.00	53.25	107	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
Trichloroethene	50.00	57.21	114	N/A	N/A	70-125	N/A	0-20	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	50.00	52.38	105	N/A	N/A	75-125	N/A	0-20	
Vinyl Chloride	50.00	46.13	92	N/A	N/A	50-145	N/A	0-20	
p/m-Xylene	100.0	109.1	109	N/A	N/A	75-130	N/A	0-20	
o-Xylene	50.00	56.95	114	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	50.00	55.13	110	N/A	N/A	65-125	N/A	0-20	
Gasoline Range Organics	1000	1071	107	995.8	100	80-120	7	0-20	





#### **Sample Analysis Summary Report**

Work Order: 14-07-1772				Page 1 of 1
Method	<u>Extraction</u>	Chemist ID	Instrument	Analytical Location
EPA 6020	EPA 3005A Filt.	598	ICP/MS 03	1
EPA 8015B (M)	EPA 3510C	847	GC 46	1
EPA 8270C SIM PAHs	EPA 3510C	923	GC/MS AAA	1
GC/MS / EPA 8260B	EPA 5030C	849	GC/MS OO	2

Location 1: 7440 Lincoln Way, Garden Grove, CA 92841 Location 2: 7445 Lampson Avenue, Garden Grove, CA 92841



#### **Glossary of Terms and Qualifiers**

Work Order: 14-07-1772 Page 1 of 1

<ul> <li>Qualifiers</li> <li>* See applicable analysis comment.</li> <li>&lt; Less than the indicated value.</li> <li>&gt; Greater than the indicated value.</li> </ul>	ontrol due to a required sample dilution. Therefore, the sample data was reported without further ontrol due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was
<ul> <li>Less than the indicated value.</li> </ul>	ontrol due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was
	ontrol due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was
> Greater than the indicated value.	ontrol due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was
	ontrol due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was
<ol> <li>Surrogate compound recovery was out of c clarification.</li> </ol>	ontrol due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was
Surrogate compound recovery was out of c in control and, therefore, the sample data w	as reported without further clarification.
3 Recovery of the Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix associated LCS recovery was in control.	s Spike Duplicate (MSD) compound was out of control due to suspected matrix interference. The
4 The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to	suspected matrix interference.
5 The PDS/PDSD or PES/PESD associated v	with this batch of samples was out of control due to suspected matrix interference.
6 Surrogate recovery below the acceptance li	mit.
7 Surrogate recovery above the acceptance I	mit.
B Analyte was present in the associated meth	od blank.
BU Sample analyzed after holding time expired	
BV Sample received after holding time expired.	
DL The Detection Limit (DL) is the smallest and the 99% level of confidence.	alyte concentration that can be demonstrated to be different from zero or a blank concentration at
E Concentration exceeds the calibration rang	э.
ET Sample was extracted past end of recomme	ended max. holding time.
HD The chromatographic pattern was inconsist	ent with the profile of the reference fuel standard.
ICH Initial calibration verification recovery is abo	ve the control limit for this analyte.
ICJ Initial calibration verification recovery is below	bw the control limit for this analyte.
IH Calibration verification recovery is above th	e control limit for this analyte.
IJ Calibration verification recovery is below the	e control limit for this analyte.
J Analyte was detected at a concentration be	low the LOQ and above the DL. Reported value is estimated.
JA Analyte positively identified but quantitation	is an estimate.
LOD The Limit of Detection (LOD) is the smalles detected at 99% confidence level.	amount or concentration of a substance that must be present in a sample in order to be
LOQ The Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) is the lower precision and bias.	st concentration of a substance that produces a quantitative result within specified limits of
Q Spike recovery and RPD control limits do no concentration by a factor of four or greater.	ot apply resulting from the parameter concentration in the sample exceeding the spike

- SG The sample extract was subjected to Silica Gel treatment prior to analysis.
- Undetected at Detection Limit (DL) and is reported as less than the Limit of Detection (LOD).
- X % Recovery and/or RPD out-of-range.
- Z Analyte presence was not confirmed by second column or GC/MS analysis.

Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are reported on a wet weight basis.

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.

A calculated total result (Example: Total Pesticides) is the summation of each component concentration and/or, if "J" flags are reported, estimated concentration. Component concentrations showing not detected (ND) are summed into the calculated total result as zero concentrations.

	Calscience E 7440 Lincoln V Other locations: For	Invironmental Labor Vay, Garden Grove, CA 92841-1427 • (7 Concord, San Luis Obispo, Houston, and courier service / sample drop off informati contact <u>sales@calscience.com</u> or call us.	CA 92841-142 Obispo, Houst ople drop off in	27 • (71 ton, and reformatio	<b>atories</b> 4) 895-5494 Corpus Christi in,	atories, 4) 895-5494 Corpus Christi in,		1	#/LAB	WO#/LAB USE ONLY		2		Date_ Page_	3	AN OF	7 C.	32 0	ā —	Ř	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD
LABC	LABORATORY CLIENT: Environmental Science International	ental S	CONCE	崖	2 Z	İ	5	<b>2</b>	CLIENT PROJECT	CLIENT PROJECT NAME / NUMBER:	VAME /	NUMBER:				<u> </u>	P.O. NO.:				aceach marinaine connecens and in the
ADD	ADDRESS: 254 Ulynia 5t, Suite 304	+ Suit	, 30y					Z	SUECT C	PROJECT CONTACT:	1		S E		Name of the Party	S	SAMPLER(S): (PRINT)	3(S): (P	RINT)	The state of the s	deni eni intributiva en Alexandria anni en Alexandria de A
CITY	CITY: Fail Uq		H	STATE:	167	73454	ZIP;		2	Pobert Chung	S	2				-	贵		James	Total Color	Mar
Ē	0460-	E-MAIL: rich una @ Ceciencei. com dependescience	encei.Or	アル	She h	Jesun	25.2	1		20000000000000000000000000000000000000	Newspace and the second			E		ANALYS	S	S			
TUR		pply to any TAT no	ot "STANDARD")	,						Please	se check	ck box	or fill	in blank	as	needed					
S,	SAME DAY 24 HR 48 HR	☐ 72 HR	☐ 5 DAYS	× ×	<b>X</b> STANDARD	Q		aconstruction of the same of t									^2	V/-			
	☐ COELT EDF GLOBAL ID					LOG CODE	ODE	(						a Core							
SPE(	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:							79-7 		Þ				Terr					(		
Z	Regular (fyll) GW monitoring analyte list	intoring	analyte	ち	1			<i>ড</i> ) —		D C9-C¢	8560			□ əno⊃ n	(		NIS 07S8)	XZ⊅Z/OLC 	0209		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
***************************************		)			,	pevre	ed tered	i) <del>a el</del>	3 <del>0 E.</del> (1	980-90	 □ 38TA	Seo)	328) səti	(9570) 35) □ E	ř808) se	(280			) p		
LAB	The second of the top of	SAMF	SAMPLING		Š.	orese	N E!!		P)Hd.			8) sC				8) sE			M		
ONLY	SAMPLE	DATE	TIME	MAIRIA	CONT.	uŊ		meane	L <b>X</b>		IGT IT8	ΟΛ				PCI			1		
<u> </u>	ESTP1P	1/h2/c	0800	Make	W		×	×				×									
64	E5109	17 9 W	0 400	Ž.	2		×	X	X			X				/ \	X		X		
69	DSIM MS/MSD	71/2/11	0900	Sanker.	9		X X	X'	X			X					X		X		
*	65110	775%	1000	) Jan	0		X.	×	×			<b>×</b> .					X	ANTIQUE ANTIQU	<u> </u>		
ؙؖڒ؟				<del>2012-1-11111</del>				<i></i>													
15 K		and the control of th																			

01/01/14 Revision

Page 30 of 32

Time: *(000)* 

Reçeyed by: (Signature/Affiliation) 

Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)

Self Hallows

Relinguished by: (Signature)

Relinquished by: (Signature)

Kelinquished by: (Signature)

Time:

Time: 5

DISTRIBUTION: White with final report, Green and Yellow to Client.

Please note that pages 1 and 2 of 2 of our T/Cs are printed on the reverse side of the Green and Yellow copies, respectively.

Return to Contents

Alichichen Taffy Dairh Larc

N

(772)

Fedex BO45 5791 7386	form. 0200		
	4 Express Package Service • To most NOTE: Service order has changed. Please select care	fully. For packages over 150 lbs., use the new fully. FedEx Express Freight US Airbill.	
Phone 808 261-0740	Next Business Day  FedEx First Overnight Enfect next business moring delivery to select locations. Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SAUIDON Gelivery is selected.	2 or 3 Business Days  FedEx 2Day A.M. Second business morning.* Saturday Delivery NOT available.	P
Science International	FedEx Priority Overnight Next business morning.* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.	FedEx 2D ay Second business afternoon.* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.	1 4
1. CVIIC 304 Dept/Floor/Suite/Floor	FedEx Standard Overnight Next business afternoon:* Saturday Delivery NOT available.	FedEx Express Saver Third business day.* Saturday Delivery NOT available.	
State 1/1 ZIP 9/4734	5 Packaging * Declared value limit \$500.		fed
State : LII ()	FedEx Envelope* FedEx Pak*	FedEx FedEx Other	fedex.com 1,800,6oFedEx 1,800,463,3339
3.	6 Special Handling and Delivery Sig	nature Options	1.800
1101 Phone 714 896-5494	SATURDAY Delivery NOT available for FedEx Standard Overnight, FedEx 2Day A.	M., or FedEx Express Saver.	1.GoFedl
aboratories.	Package may be left without Someone	Signature at recipient's address for delivery, Foe applies es delivery, Foe applies es delivery foe applies es delivery foe applies	x 1.800.
HOLD Weekday Fedex location address PREDIMEN. NOT everlight for Pedex first Overnight.  Dept/Floor/Suite/Room HOLD Saturday Fedex location address	Does this shipment contain dangerous good One box must be checked.  Yes Shipper's Declaration. Shipper's Declaration.	claration Dry Ice. Dry Ice. 9. UN:1845 x kg	163.3339
REQUIRED. Available ONLY for Fedex Priority Overnight and Fedex 20 by to select locations.	Dangerous goods (including dry ice) cannot be shipped in FedEx pack or placed in a FedEx Express Drop Box.	eging Cargo Aircraft Only	
g address. FedEx 20 ay to select locations.  State (A ZIP 97.84)		io. or Credit Card No. below. Obtain recip. Acct. No.	
	Section Act to a Section Recipient Recipient	Third Party Credit Card Cash/Check	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Total Packages Total Weight	Credit Card Auth.	
	†Our liability is limited to US\$100 unless you declare a higher value. See	the current FedEx Service Guide for details.	
386	Rev. Date 1/12 • Parl #167002 • ©2012 FedEx • PRINTED IN U.S.A.	SRF	



Air: □Tedlar® □Canister Other: □

## Calscience

WORK ORDER #: 14-07- 4 7 2

## SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM

Cooler / of /

		oolei 🖊	_ 01
CLIENT: Env'l-, Science Int'l.	DATE:	07/20	714
TEMPERATURE: Thermometer ID: SC1 (Criteria: 0.0 °C – 6.0	°C, not frozen except se	ediment/tiss	ue)
Temperature $2 \cdot 7 \text{ °C} - 0.3 \text{ °C}$ (CF) = $2 \cdot 7 \text{ °C}$	<u>4</u> °C	☐ Samp	le
☐ Sample(s) outside temperature criteria (PM/APM contacted by			
☐ Sample(s) outside temperature criteria but received on ice/chil		ling.	
☐ Received at ambient temperature, placed on ice for trai		Ü	
Ambient Temperature: □ Air □ Filter		Checked	by: 826
CUSTODY SEALS INTACT:			on.
	Not Present \( \subseteq \text{N/A} \)  Not Present	Checked l	
✓ Sample □ □ No (Not Intact) ✓	Not-Presént ''	Checked k	oy: <u>\$ 12</u>
SAMPLE CONDITION:	Yes	No	N/A
Chain-Of-Custody (COC) document(s) received with samples.			
COC document(s) received complete			
☐ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based or	sample labels.		
☐ No analysis requested. ☐ Not relinquished. ☐ No date/time reli	nquished.		
Sampler's name indicated on COC			
Sample container label(s) consistent with COC	Z		
Sample container(s) intact and good condition	<u>E</u>		
Proper containers and sufficient volume for analyses requeste	:d		
Analyses received within holding time	<u>A</u>		
Aqueous samples received within 15-minute holding time			
☐ pH ☐ Residual Chlorine ☐ Dissolved Sulfides ☐ Dissolved C	xygen		
Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container			
☑ Unpreserved vials received for Volatiles analysis			8
Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace	••		
Tedlar bag(s) free of condensation  CONTAINER TYPE:	🗆		
Solid: □4ozCGJ □8ozCGJ □16ozCGJ □Sleeve (	) □EnCores <sup>®</sup> □Terra	aCores <sup>®</sup> □	
Aqueous: ZVÔA ZVÔAh □VOAna₂ □125AGB □125AGBh	□125AGBp Ø1ÃGB	□1AGB <b>na</b> ₂	□1AGB <b>s</b>
□500AGB □500AGJ □500AGJs □250AGB □250CGB			
7350DD 7750DDn 1125DD 1125DD 1100D   1100D   1100	OP In a	<u> </u>	<b>.</b> .

\_\_\_\_\_ Trip Blank Lot#: 1967-02日

Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope

Preservative: h: HCL n: HNO<sub>3</sub> na<sub>2</sub>:Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> na: NaOH p: H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> s: H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> u: Ultra-pure znna: ZnAc<sub>2</sub>+NaOH f: Filtered

Labeled/Checked by:

Reviewed by:

Scanned by:

## **RAW DATA SHEET** FOR METHOD: EPA 8015B (M)

**WORK ORDER: INSTRUMENT:** 

**EXTRACTION:** 

14-07-1772

GC 46

**EPA 3510C** 

D/T EXTRACTED: 2014-07-28 00:00

**ANALYZED BY:** 

847 D/T ANALYZED:

2014-07-30 01:15

**REVIEWED BY:** D/T REVIEWED:

DATA FILE:

W:\GC 45 46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072947.D\14072947

<u>#</u> 2

**CLIENT SAMPLE NUMBER: ES109** 

LCS/MB BATCH:

140728B13 140728S13 SAMPLE VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 500.00 ml / ACTUAL: 480.00 ml

MS/MSD BATCH: **UNITS:** 

ug/L

FINAL VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 5.00 ml / ACTUAL: 2.50 ml

ADJUSTMENT RATIO TO PF: 0.52

COMMENT:

Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), concentrations >= to the MDL (DL) but < RL (LOQ), if found, are

qualified with a "J" flag.

INI. CONC

DF

CONC

DL 12

LOQ

QUAL

COMPOUND TPH as Diesel

3280

1.00

17.1

13

LOD

26

bJ

#### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072947.D

Page Number : 1

Vial Number : Vial 47 : 847

Operator : 847
Instrument : GC 46
Sample Name : 14-07 Injection Number: 1 Sequence Line : 48 : 14-07-1772-2

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 30 Jul 14 01:15 am

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 30 Jul 14 11:55 am

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729->

g.	Pk	Ret Time	Area	Height	Peak	Width	Response %
1							-
	1	2.695	14.56	5	VV	0.040	1.969
	2	2.808	1.59	1	VV	0.025	0.215
	3	2.867	9.61	3	VV	0.048	1.300
	4	2.973	3.08	1	VV	0.043	0.416
	5	3.102	2.71	2	VV	0.022	0.367
	6	3.189	0.74	0	VV	0.042	0.100
	7	3.320	1.67	1	VV	0.036	0.226
	8	3.434	0.26	0	VV	0.032	0.036
	9	3.630	1.52	0	VV	0.060	0.205
	10	3.698	2.48	2	VV	0.022	0.336
	11	3.780	0.84	0	VV	0.051	0.114
	12	3.950	0.77	1	VV	0.023	0.105
	13	4.044	1.45	1	VB	0.032	0.197
	14	4.289	0.93	0	BV	0.064	0.126
	15	4.403	0.68	0	VV	0.038	0.091
	16	4.459	0.71	0	VV	0.042	0.096
	17	4.561	0.40	0	VV	0.050	0.054
	18	4.627	0.53	0	VV	0.033	0.071
	19	4.711	4.12	1	VV	0.049	0.557
	20	4.857	5.72	4	VV	0.020	0.774
	21	4.918	0.85	1	VV	0.026	0.115
	22	5.009	1.27	1	VV	0.025	0.172
	23	5.152	0.72	1	VV	0.022	0.098
	24	5.295	682.00	503	VV	0.022	92.259

Total area = 739.23

#### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072947.D

Page Number : 2

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 47


Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1
Sample Name : 14-07-1772-2 Sequence Line : 48

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\

Acquired on : 30 Jul 14 01:15 am

Report Created on: 30 Jul 14 11:55 am Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies



#### **RAW DATA SHEET** FOR METHOD: EPA 8015B (M)

**WORK ORDER: INSTRUMENT:** 

**EXTRACTION:** 

14-07-1772

GC 46

**EPA 3510C** 

D/T EXTRACTED: 2014-07-28 00:00

**ANALYZED BY:** 

D/T ANALYZED:

2014-07-30 01:32

847

**REVIEWED BY:** 

D/T REVIEWED:

**DATA FILE:** 

W:\GC 45 46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072948.D\14072948

<u>#</u> 3

**CLIENT SAMPLE NUMBER: ES110** 

LCS/MB BATCH: MS/MSD BATCH: 140728B13 140728S13 SAMPLE VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 500.00 ml / ACTUAL: 500.00 ml

FINAL VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 5.00 ml / ACTUAL: 2.50 ml

**UNITS:** 

ug/L

ADJUSTMENT RATIO TO PF:

0.50

**COMMENT:** 

Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), concentrations >= to the MDL (DL) but < RL (LOQ), if found, are

qualified with a "J" flag.

COMPOUND

INI. CONC

DF

CONC

DL 11

LOD LOQ QUAL

TPH as Diesel

3090

1.00

15.5

12

25

bJ

#### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072948.D

Page Number : 1

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 48

Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1
Sample Name : 14-07-1772-3 Sequence Line : 49

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 30 Jul 14 01:32 am

Report Created on: 30 Jul 14 11:56 am Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in  $W:\GC_45_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729->$ 

Pk	Ret Time	Area	Height	Peak	Width	Response %
. 1	2.639	0.37	0	VV	0.044	0.052
2	2.698	12.86	5	VV	0.038	1.811
3	2.809	1.47	1	VV	0.025	0.207
4	2.867	9.06	3	VV	0.046	1.276
5	2.973	2.71	1	VV	0.043	0.382
6	3.102	2.59	2	VV	0.020	0.364
7	3.191	0.65	0	VV	0.041	0.091
8	3.319	1.65	1	VV	0.036	0.233
9	3.433	0.27	0	VV	0.031	0.038
10	3.620	1.13	0	VV	0.047	0.159
11	3.662	0.50	0	VV	0.023	0.070
12	3.697	2.59	2	VV	0.023	0.365
13	3.782	0.81	0	VV	0.050	0.113
14	3.882	0.23	0	VV	0.022	0.033
15	3.950	0.75	0	VV	0.025	0.106
16	4.046	0.79	1	VV	0.023	0.111
17	4.086	0.30	0	VB	0.030	0.042
18	4.292	0.73	0	BV	0.060	0.103
19	4.402	0.79	0	VV	0.042	0.111
20	4.463	0.58	0	VV	0.044	0.082
21	4.629	0.37	0	VV	0.027	0.052
22	4.715	3.52	1	VV	0.050	0.496
23	4.857	6.04		VV	0.020	0.850
24	4.921	1.16		VV	0.025	0.163
25	5.010	1.23		VV	0.024	0.173
26	5.153	0.74	1		0.020	0.104
27	5.296	656.29	482	VV	0.021	92.414

Total area = 710.17

# Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072948.D

Page Number : 2

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 48


Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1 Sample Name : 14-07-1772-3 Sequence Line : 4

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 30 Jul 14 01:32 am

Report Created on: 30 Jul 14 11:56 am Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies



## **RAW DATA SHEET** FOR METHOD: EPA 8015B (M)

**WORK ORDER:** 

099-15-516

**INSTRUMENT:** GC 46

**EPA 3510C** 

**EXTRACTION:** 

D/T EXTRACTED: 2014-07-28 00:00

**ANALYZED BY:** 

D/T ANALYZED:

2014-07-29 23:50

847

LOQ

**REVIEWED BY:** D/T REVIEWED:

**DATA FILE:** 

W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072942.D\14072942

# MB

UNITS:

**CLIENT SAMPLE NUMBER:** Method Blank

LCS/MB BATCH:

140728B13

SAMPLE VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 500.00 ml / ACTUAL: 500.00 ml

MS/MSD BATCH: ug/L

FINAL VOLUME / WEIGHT:

DEFAULT: 5.00 ml / ACTUAL: 2.50 ml

**ADJUSTMENT RATIO TO PF:** 

0.50

**COMMENT:** 

COMPOUND TPH as Diesel INI. CONC

DF

CONC

DL

LOD

QUAL

25 11 12 0.000 1.00 ND

#### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072942.D

Page Number : 1

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 42

Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1 Sample Name : MB 14072813 Sequence Line : 43

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 29 Jul 14 11:50 pm

Report Created on: 31 Jul 14 05:40 pm Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in  $W:\GC_{45}_{46}\DATA\GC46\2014\140729}$ 

	Ret Time			Width	_	
 •	5.287		VV		100.00	0

Total area = 608.87

# Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072942.D

Page Number : 2

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 42


Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1
Sample Name : MB 14072813 Sequence Line : 43

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 29 Jul 14 11:50 pm

Report Created on: 31 Jul 14 05:40 pm Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies



#### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072943.D

Page Number : 1

Vial Number : Vial 43 : 847

Operator : 847
Instrument : GC 46
Sample Name : LCS 14 Injection Number: 1 Sequence Line : 44 : LCS 14072813

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 30 Jul 14 00:07 am

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 31 Jul 14 05:40 pm

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Sig. 1 in W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729->

lg. 1 Pk	Ret Time	_40\DATA\GC40\2	Height	Peak	Width	Response %
						-
1	0.618	2,54	•	BV	0.015	0.035
2	0.667	4.64		VV	0.016	0.064
3	0.698	3.55		VV	0.023	0.049
4	0.792	0.25		VV	0.021	0.003
5	0.881	3.03		VV	0.021	0.042
6	0.929	0.52		VV	0.026	0.007
7	0.988	0.25		VV	0.016	0.003
8	1.071	2.28		VV	0.022	0.032
9	1.098	1.48	1	VV	0.016	0.021
10	1.124	4.21	3	VV	0.024	0.058
11	1.171	2.31	1	VV	0.024	0.032
12	1.205	1.60	2	VV	0.015	0.022
13	1.230	5.72	3	VV	0.029	0.079
14	1.347	14.68	5	VV	0.041	0.203
15	1.424	6.04	4	VV	0.022	0.084
16	1.462	5.05	4	VV	0.018	0.070
17	1.486	5.62	5	VV	0.018	0.078
18	1.546	20.89	6	VV	0.048	0.289
19	1.611	18.25	8	VV	0.032	0.253
20	1.639	5.05		VV	0.015	0.070
21	1.661	6.26		VV	0.016	0.087
22	1.697	22.20		VV	0.025	0.308
23	1.722	14.25		VV	0.025	0.197
24	1.766	8.70		VV	0.019	0.121
25	1.797	26.48		VV	0.026	0.367
26	1.826	26.80		VV	0.034	0.371
27	1.873	28.61		VV	0.026	0.396
28	1.916	37.91		VV	0.021	0.525
29	1.978	47.33		VV	0.036	0.656
30	2.022	44.21		VV	0.030	0.613
31	2.104	133.24		VV	0.047	
32	2.205	152.17		VV	0.029	2.108
33	2.267	104.03		VV	0.038	
34	2.313	59.40		VV	0.026	
35	2.371	201.66		VV	0.054	
36	2.468	176.91		VV	0.028 0.025	1.175
37	2.496	84.77		VV	0.023	
38	2.541	49.24		VV	0.023	
39	2.595	116.97		VV	0.043	
40	2.640	165.04		VV VV	0.042	
41	2.711	239.75		VV	0.030	
42	2.800	83.33		VV	0.031	
43	2.829	67.88		VV	0.022	
44	2.879	147.84		VV	0.033	
45	2.939	245.47	93	vv	0.034	3,101

#### Area Percent Report

Data File Name : W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072943.D

Page Number : 2

Operator : 847 Vial Number : Vial 43

Instrument : GC 46 Injection Number : 1 Sample Name : LCS 14072813 Sequence Line : 44

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\ ->

Acquired on : 30 Jul 14 00:07 am

Report Created on: 31 Jul 14 05:40 pm Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH

Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies

Pk	Ret Time	Area	Height	Peak	Width	Response %
46	3.010	61.41	36		0.025	0.851
47	3.067	235.79	61		0.051	3.267
48	3.116	55.24	40		0.020	0.765
49	3.155	273.54		VV	0.036	3.790
50	3.282	272.85		VV	0.061	3.780
51	3.358	243.51		VV	0.033	3.374
52	3.400	73.00		VV	0.024	1.011
53	3.447	166.02		VV	0.031	2.300
54	3.485	149.22	58	VV	0.037	2.068
55	3.553	344.61	147		0.032	4.775
56	3.634	222.57	64	VV	0.047	3.084
57	3.677	175.70	62	VV	0.038	2.434
58	3.738	322.52	124	VV	0.036	4.469
59	3.812	148.01	53	VV	0.037	2.051
60	3.860	141.11	51	VV	0.036	1.955
61	3.909	263.58	83	VV	0.042	3.652
62	3.978	105.47	43	VV	0.033	1.461
63	4.016	57.12	40	VV	0.021	0.791
64	4.036	66.00	40	VV	0.023	0.914
65	4.079	164.16	60	VV	0.037	2.275
66	4.144	81.87	32	VV	0.036	1.134
67	4.196	77.80	31	VV	0.033	1.078
68	4.243	97.97	40	VV	0.034	1.357
69	4.287	71.17	22	VV	0.045	0.986
70	4.343	57.71		VV	0.038	0.800
71	4.403	62.99	25	VV	0.035	0.873
72	4.452	69.13		VV	0.062	0.958
73	4.561	34.49	13	VV	0.036	0.478
74	4.610	32.15		VV	0.058	0.445
75	4.715	32.57		VV	0.066	0.451
76	4.865	12.45		VV	0.062	0.172
77	5.014	3.13		VV	0.031	0.043
78	5.060	1.67		VV	0.048	0.023
79	5.159	1.12		VV	0.024	0.015
80	5.208	0.45		VV	0.035	0.006
81	5.304	640.73	474	VV	0.021	8.878

Total area = 7217.27

#### Area Percent Report

: W:\GC\_45\_46\DATA\GC46\2014\140729\14072943.D Data File Name

: 3 Page Number

: Vial 43 Vial Number : 847 Operator


Injection Number: 1 : GC 46 Instrument : LCS 14072813 Sequence Line : 44 Sample Name

Instrument Method: C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\

: 30 Jul 14 00:07 am Acquired on

Analysis Method : 8015B.MTH Report Created on: 31 Jul 14 05:40 pm


Software Revision: Rev. B.03.02 [341] Copyright © Agilent Technologies






# APPENDIX D Historical Groundwater Exceedance Trends





Data points for 10/21/2010 through 1/24/2012 and 11/07/2012 through 7/24/2014 are the average of the primary and duplicate samples. Unfilled boxes indicate non-detections. Method detection limits are shown.





Unfilled boxes indicate non-detections. Method detection limits are shown.

4/23/2014 - A review of the chromatograms and historical data concluded the TPH-d subsample for HDMW2253-03 and the duplicate sample for OWDFMW-01 were likely switched during this event. The TPH-d concentration for the OWDFMW-01 duplicate sample was 32 ug/L.

